

ON THE INJECTIVE DOMINATION OF JUMP GRAPHS

N. Pratap Bau Rao

Department of Mathematics S.G. College, Koopal(Karnataka) INDIA

ABSTRACT: Let $J(G)=(V,E)$ be a jump graph. A subset D of $J(V)$ is called injective dominating set (inj-dominating set) if every vertex $v \in J(V) - D$ there exists a vertex $u \in D$ such that $|\Gamma(u,v)| \geq 1$, where $|\Gamma(u,v)|$ is the number of common neighbors between the vertices u and v . The minimum cardinality of such dominating set denoted by $\gamma_{inj}(J(G))$ and is called injective dominating number (Inj-dominating number) of $J(G)$. In this paper, we introduce the injective domination of a jump graph $J(G)$ and analogous to that, we define the injective independence number (Inj-independence number) $\beta_{inj}(J(G))$ and injective domatic number (Inj-domatic number) $d_{inj}(J(G))$. Bounds and some interesting results are established.

Mathematics subject classification 95C69

Key words : Injective domination number, Injective independence number, Injective domatic number

1. Introduction:

By a graph means a finite, undirected with no loops and multiple edges. In general we use

$\langle X \rangle$ to denote the sub graph induced by the set of vertices X and $N(v)$, $N[v]$ denote the open and closed neighborhood of a vertex v , respectively. The distance between two vertices u and v in $J(G)$ is the number of edges in a shortest path connecting them this is also known as the geodesic distance. The eccentricity of a vertex v is the greatest geodesic distance between v and any other vertex and denoted by $e(v)$

A set D of vertices in a graph $J(G)$ is a dominating set if every vertex in $J(V) - D$ is adjacent to some vertex in D . The dominating number $\gamma(J(G))$ is the minimum cardinality of a dominating set of $J(G)$. We denote to the smallest integer greater than or equal to x by $\lceil x \rceil$ and the greatest integer less than or equal to x by $\lfloor x \rfloor$. A strongly regular jump graph with parameter (n, k, λ, μ) is a graph with n vertices such that the number of common neighbors of two vertices u and v is k, λ or μ according to whether u and v are equal, adjacent, respectively. When $\lambda = 0$ the strongly regular graph $J(G)$ is called primitive if $J(G)$ and $J(\bar{G})$ are connected.

For terminology and notations not specifically defined here we refer the reader to [5] For more details about domination number and neighborhood number and their related parameters. We refer to [3], [4]

The common neighborhood domination in graph has introduced in [2]. A subset D of $J(V)$ is called common neighborhood dominating set (CN-dominating set) if every vertex $v \in J(V) - D$ there exists a vertex $u \in D$ such that $uv \in E(J(G))$ and $|\Gamma(u,v)| \geq 1$, where $|\Gamma(u,v)|$ is the number of common neighborhood between the vertices u and v . The minimum cardinality of such dominating set denoted by $\gamma_{cn}(J(G))$ and is called common neighborhood domination number (CN-domination number) of $J(G)$. The common neighborhood (CN-neighborhood) of a vertex

$u \in V(J(G))$ denoted by $N_{cn}(u)$ is defined as $N_{cn}(u) = \{v \in V(J(G)) : uv \in E(J(G)) \text{ and } |\Gamma(u,v)| \geq 1\}$.

The common neighborhood graph (congraph) of $J(G)$, denoted by $con(J(G))$, is the graph with the vertex set v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p , in which two vertices are adjacent if and only if they have at least one common neighbor in the graph $J(G)$ [1].

In this paper, we introduce the concept of injective domination in jump graph. In ordinary domination between vertices is enough for a vertex to dominate another in practice. If the persons

Have common friend then it may result in friendship. Human being have a tendency to move with others when they have common friends.

2. Injective Dominating Sets:

If defense and domination problem in some situations there should not be direct contact between two individuals but can be linked by a third person this motivated us to introduced the concept of injective domination.

Definition 2.1 ([1]). Let $J(G)$ be a jump graph with vertex set $V(J(G)) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_p\}$, For $i \neq j$ the common neighborhood of the verticed v_i and v_j , denoted by $\Gamma(v_i, v_j)$ is the set of vertices different from v_i and v_j , which are adjacent to both v_i and v_j .

Definition 2.2. Let $J(G) = (V, E)$ be a graph. A subset D of V is called injective dominating set

(Inj-dominating set) if for every vertex $v \in V$ either $v \in D$ or there exists a vertex $u \in D$ such that

$|\Gamma(u, v)| \geq 1$. The minimum cardinality of Inj-dominating set of $J(G)$ denoted by

$\gamma_{inj}(J(G))$ and called injective domination number (Inj-domination number) of $J(G)$.

Proposition 2.3: Let $J(G) = (V, E)$ be a graph and $u \in V$ be such that $|\Gamma(u, v)| = 0$ for all $v \in V(J(G))$. Then u is every injective dominating set, such vertices are called injective isolated vertices.

Proposition 2.4 : Let $J(G) = (V, E)$ be strongly regular graph with parameters (n, k, λ, μ) . Then

$\gamma_{inj}(J(G)) = 1$ or 2 .

Proposition 2.5.: For any graph $J(G)$, $\gamma_{inj}(J(G)) \leq \gamma_{cn}(J(G))$.

Proof: From the definition of the CN-dominating set of a graph $J(G)$, For any graph $J(G)$. For any graph $J(G)$ any CN-dominating set D is also Inj-dominating set. Hence $\gamma_{inj}(J(G)) \leq \gamma_{cn}(J(G))$.

We note that Inj-domination number of a graph $J(G)$ may be greater than, smaller than or equal to the domination number of $J(G)$.

Example 2.6.

- i) $\gamma_{inj}(J(P_2)) = 2$ $\gamma(J(P_2)) = 1$
- ii) $\gamma_{inj}(J(C_5)) = 2$ $\gamma(J(C_5)) = 1$
- iii) If $J(G)$ is the Petersen graph, then $\gamma_{inj}(J(G)) = 2$ $\gamma_{cn}(J(G)) = 3$

Proposition 2.7:

- i) For any complete graph $J(K_p)$ where $p \neq 2$ $\gamma_{inj}(J(K_p)) = 1$
- ii) For any wheel graph $J(G) \cong J(W_p)$ $\gamma_{inj}(J(G)) = 1$
- iii) For any complete bipartite graph $J(K_{r,m})$ $\gamma_{inj}(J(K_{r,m})) = 2$
- iv) For any graph $J(G)$, $\gamma_{inj}(K_p + J(G)) = 1$ where $p \geq 2$

Proposition 2.8. For any graph $J(G)$ with vertices $1 \leq \gamma_{inj}(J(G)) \leq p$

Proposition 2.9: Let $J(G)$ be a graph with p vertices. Then $\gamma_{inj}(J(G)) = p$ if and only if $J(G)$ is a forest with

$\Delta(J(G)) \leq 1$.

Proof: Let $J(G)$ be a forest with $\Delta(J(G)) \leq 1$. Then we have two cases.

Case 1. If $J(G)$ is connected. Then either $J(G) \cong J(K_2)$ or $J(G) \cong J(K_1)$. Hence $\gamma_{inj}(J(G)) = p$

Case 2. If $J(G)$ is disconnected, then $J(G) \cong J(n_1 K_2 \cup n_2 K_2)$, thus $\gamma_{inj}(J(G)) = p$

Conversely, If $\gamma_{inj}(J(G)) = p$ then all the vertices of $J(G)$ are Inj-isolated that means $J(G)$ is isomorphic to $J(K_1)$ or $J(K_2)$ or to the disjoint union of $J(K_1)$ and $J(K_2)$, that is $J(G) \cong J(n_1 K_2 \cup n_2 K_2)$, for some $n_1, n_2 \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. Hence $J(G)$ is a forest with $\Delta(J(G)) \leq 1$.

Proposition 2.10: Let $J(G)$ be a nontrivial connected graph. Then $\gamma_{inj}(J(G)) = 1$ if and only if there exists a vertex $v \in V(J(G))$ such that $N(v) = N_{cn}(v)$ and $e(v) \leq 2$

Prof: Let $v \in V(J(G))$ be any vertex in $J(G)$ such that $N(v) = N_{cn}(v)$ and $e(v) \leq 2$. Then for any vertex

$u \in V(J(G)) - \{v\}$ if u is adjacent to v , Since $N(v) = N_{cn}(v)$, then obvious $u \in N_{inj}(v)$. If u is not adjacent to v , then $|\Gamma(u, v)| \geq 1$. Thus for any vertex $u \in V(J(G)) - \{v\}$, $|\Gamma(u, v)| \geq 1$. Hence, $\gamma_{inj}(J(G)) = 1$.

Conversely, If $J(G)$ is a graph with p vertices and $\gamma_{inj}(J(G)) = 1$, then there exist at least one vertex v

$\in V(G)$ such that $d_{\gamma_{inj}}(v) = p - 1$, then any vertex $u \in V(J(G)) - \{v\}$ either contained in triangle with v or has distance two from v . Hence, $N(v) = N_{inj}(v)$ and $e(v) \leq 2$.

Theorem 2.11([6]) For any path P_p and any cycle C_p where $p \geq 3$, we have

$$\gamma(P_p) = \gamma(C_p) = \lceil \frac{p}{3} \rceil$$

Proposition 2.12 [2]. For any path P_p and any cycle C_p

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i)} \quad \text{Con}(P_p) &\cong P_{\lceil \frac{p}{2} \rceil} \cup P_{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor} \\ \text{ii)} \quad \text{Com}(C_p) &\cong \begin{cases} C_p & \text{if } p \text{ is odd and } p \geq 3. \\ P_2 \cup P_2 & \text{if } p=4 \\ C_{p/2} \cup C_{p/2} & \text{if } p \text{ is even} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of the common neighborhood graph and the Inj-domination in a jump graph the following propositions can easily verified.

Proposition 2.13: For any graph $J(G)$, $\gamma_{inj}(J(G)) = \gamma(\text{con}(J(G)))$

The proof of the following proposition is straight forward from Theorem 2.11 and proposition 2.12

Proposition :2.14: For any cycle C_p with odd number of vertices $p \geq 3$.

$$\gamma_{inj}(J(C_p)) = \gamma(J(C_p)) = \lceil \frac{p}{3} \rceil$$

Theorem 2.15: For any cycle C_p with even number of vertices $p \geq 3$.

$$\gamma_{inj}(J(C_p)) = 2 \lceil \frac{p}{6} \rceil$$

Proof: From Proposition 2.13, Theorem 2.11 and proposition 2.12, if p is even then

$$\gamma_{inj}(J(C_p)) = \gamma_{inj}((J(C_{p/2})) \cup (C_{p/2})) = 2 \gamma(J(C_{p/2})) = 2 \lceil \frac{p}{6} \rceil$$

Proposition 2.16: For any odd number $p \geq 3$

$$\gamma_{inj}(J(P_p)) = \lceil \frac{p+1}{6} \rceil + \lceil \frac{p-1}{6} \rceil$$

Proof: From proposition 2.13, Theorem 2.11 and proposition 2.12, if p is odd then,

$$\gamma_{inj}(J(P_p)) = \gamma(J(P_{\lceil \frac{p}{2} \rceil}) \cup J(P_{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor})) = \gamma(J(P_{\lceil \frac{p+1}{2} \rceil}) \cup J(P_{\lfloor \frac{p-1}{2} \rfloor})) = \lceil \frac{p+1}{2} \rceil + \lfloor \frac{p-1}{2} \rfloor$$

Proposition 2.17 For any even number $p \geq 4$,

$$\gamma_{inj}(J(P_p)) = 2 \lceil \frac{p}{6} \rceil$$

Proof: From proposition 2.13, Theorem 2.11 and proposition 2.12, if p is even then, $\lceil \frac{p}{2} \rceil = \lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor = \frac{p}{2}$ Hence $\gamma_{inj}(J(P_p)) = 2 \lceil \frac{p}{6} \rceil$

Theorem 2.18 Let $J(G) = (V, E)$ be a jump graph without Inj-isolated vertices. If D is a minimal Inj-dominating set the $V - D$ is an Inj-dominating set.

Proof: Let d be the minimal Inj-dominating set of $J(G)$, Suppose $V - D$ is not Inj-dominating set. Then there exists a vertex u in D such that u is not Inj-dominated by any vertex in $V - D$, that is $|\Gamma(u, v)| = 0$ for any vertex v in $V - D$. Since $J(G)$ has no Inj-isolated vertices, then there is at least one vertex in $D - \{u\}$ has common neighborhood with u , Thus $D - \{u\}$ is Inj-dominating set of $J(G)$ which contradicts the minimality of the Inj-dominating set D . Thus every vertex in D has common neighborhood with at least one vertex in $V - D$. Hence $V - D$ is an Inj-dominating set.

Theorem 2.19; A jump graph $J(G)$ has a unique minimal Inj-dominating set, if and only if the set of all Inj-isolated vertices forms an Inj-dominating set.

Proof: Let $J(G)$ has unique minimal Inj-dominating set D , and suppose $S = \{u \in V : u \text{ is Inj-isolated vertex}\}$. Thus $S \subseteq D$. Now suppose $D \neq S$

let $v \in D - S$, Since v is no Inj-isolated vertex, then $V - \{v\}$ is an Inj-dominating set. Hence there is a minimal Inj-dominating set $D_1 \subseteq V - \{v\}$ $D_1 \neq D$ a contradiction to the fact that $J(G)$ has a unique minimal Inj-dominating set. Therefore $S = D$.

Conversely, if the set of all Inj-isolated vertices in $J(G)$ forms an Inj-dominating set, then it is clear that $J(G)$ has a unique Inj-dominating set.

Theorem 2.20: For any (p, q) graph $J(G)$, $\gamma_{inj} J(G) \geq p - q$

Proof: Let D be a minimum Inj-isolated vertices in $J(G)$, Since every vertex in $V - D$ has common neighborhood with at least one vertex of D , then $q \geq |V - D|$, Hence $\gamma_{inj} J(G) \geq p - q$.

Theorem 2.21: Let $J(G)$ be a graph on p vertices and $\delta_{inj}(J(G)) \geq 1$ Then $\gamma_{inj} J(G) \leq \frac{p}{2}$

Proof: Let D be any minimal Inj-dominating set in $J(G)$. Then by Theorem 2.18, $V - D$ is also an Inj-dominating set in $J(G)$. Hence, $\gamma_{inj} J(G) \leq \min \{ |D|, |V - D| \} \leq \frac{p}{2}$.

Theorem 2.22: For any graph $J(G)$ on p vertices $\gamma_{inj} J(G) \leq p - \Delta_{inj}(J(G))$.

Proof: Let v be a vertex of $J(G)$ such that $\deg_{inj}\{v\} = \Delta_{inj}(J(G))$. Then v has common neighborhood with $|N_{inj}\{v\}| = \Delta_{inj}(J(G))$ vertices. Thus, $V - N_{inj}\{v\}$ is an Inj-dominating set. Therefore $\gamma_{inj} J(G) \leq |V - N_{inj}\{v\}|$, Hence

$$\gamma_{inj} J(G) \leq p - \Delta_{inj}(J(G))$$

Proposition 2.23; For any graph $J(G)$ with diameter less than or equal three and maximum degree $\Delta(J(G))$, $\gamma_{inj} J(G) \leq \Delta(J(G)) + 1$

Proof: Let $\text{diam}(J(G)) \leq 3$ and $v \in V(J(G))$ such that $\deg(v) = \Delta(J(G))$, Clearly that, if $\text{diam}(J(G)) = 1$ then $J(G)$ is a complete graph and the result holds. Suppose $\text{diam}(J(G)) = 2$ or 3 Let $V_1(J(G)) \subseteq V(J(G))$ be the set of vertices of $J(G)$ which have distance 1

from v , where $I = 1, 2, 3$. Obviously, the set $S = V_1(J(G)) \cup \{v\}$ is an Inj-dominating set of $J(G)$ of order $\Delta(J(G)) + 1$. Hence $\gamma_{inj}(J(G)) \leq \Delta(J(G)) + 1$.

Definition.2. 24; Let $J(G) = (V, E)$ be a jump graph. $S \subseteq V(J(G))$ is called Inj-independent set if no two vertices in S have common neighbor. An Inj-independent set S is called maximal Inj-independent set if no superset of S is Inj-independent set. The Inj-independent set with maximum size called the maximum Inj-independent set in $J(G)$ and its size called the Inj-independence number of $J(G)$ and is denoted by $\beta_{inj}(J(G))$

Theorem 2.25: Let S be a maximal Inj-independent set. Then S is a minimal Inj-dominating set.

Proof: Let S be a maximal Inj-independent set and $u \in V - S$. If $u \notin N_{inj}(v)$ for every $v \in S$, then $S \cup \{u\}$ is an Inj-independent set, a contradiction to the maximality of S . Therefore $u \in N_{inj}(v)$ for some $v \in S$. Hence, S is an Inj-dominating set. To prove that S is minimal Inj-dominating set. Suppose S is not minimal. Then for some $u \in S$ the set $S - \{u\}$ is an Inj-dominating set. Then there exist some vertex in S has a common neighborhood with u , a contradiction because S is an Inj-independent set. Therefore S is a minimal Inj-dominating set.

Corollary: 2.26: For any graph $J(G)$, $\gamma_{inj}(J(G)) \leq \beta_{inj}(J(G))$.

3. Injective domatic number in a jump graph.

Let $J(G) = (V, E)$ be a jump graph. A partition Δ of its vertex set $V(J(G))$ is called a domatic partition of $J(G)$ if each class of Δ is dominating set in $J(G)$. The maximum order of a partition of $V(J(G))$ into dominating sets is called domatic number of $J(G)$ and is denoted by $d(J(G))$.

Analogously as to $\gamma(J(G))$ the domatic number $d(J(G))$ was introduced, we introduce the injective domatic number $d_{inj}(J(G))$, and we obtain some bounds and establish some properties of the injective domatic number of a jump graph $J(G)$.

Definition 3.1.: Let $J(G) = (V, E)$ be a jump graph. A partition Δ of its vertex set $V(J(G))$ is called an injective domatic (in short Inj-domatic) partitioned $J(G)$ if each class of Δ is an Inj-dominating set in $J(G)$. The maximum order of a partition of $V(J(G))$ into Inj-dominating sets called the Inj-domatic number of $J(G)$ and is denoted by $d_{inj}(J(G))$.

For every jump graph $J(G)$ there exists at least one Inj-domatic partition of $V(J(G))$ namely $\{V(J(G))\}$. Therefore $d_{inj}(J(G))$ is well-defined for any jump graph $J(G)$.

Theorem 3.2;

- i) For any complete graph $J(K_p)$ $d_{inj}(K_p) = d_{cn}(J(K_p)) = d(J(K_p)) = p$
- ii) $d_{inj}(J(G)) = n - 1$ if and only if $J(G)$ has at least one Inj-isolated vertex.
- iii) For any wheel graph of p vertices, $d_{inj}(J(W_p)) = p$
- iv) For any complete bipartite graph $J(K_{r,m})$

$$d_{inj}(J(K_{r,m})) = \begin{cases} \text{Min}\{r, m\} & \text{if } r, m \geq 2, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
- v) For any jump graph $J(G)$, if $N_{inj}(v) = N(v)$ for any vertex v in $V(J(G))$, then $d_{inj}(J(G)) = d(J(G))$.

Proof:

- I) If $J(G) = (V, E)$ is a complete graph $J(K_p)$, then for any vertex v the set $\{v\}$ is a minimum CN-dominating set and also a minimum Inj-dominating set is p . Hence, $d_{inj}(J(K_p)) = d_{cn}(J(K_p)) = p$
- II) Let $J(G)$ be a graph which has an Inj-isolated vertex say v , then every Inj-dominating set of $J(G)$ must contain the vertex v . Then $d_{inj}(J(G)) = 1$.

Conversely, if $d_{inj}(J(G)) = 1$. And suppose $J(G)$ has no Inj-isolated vertex, then by Theorem 2.21 $\gamma_{inj}(J(G)) \leq \frac{p}{2}$, so if we suppose D is a minimal Inj-dominating set I $J(G)$, then $V - D$ is also a minimal Inj-dominating set. Thus $d_{inj}(J(G)) \geq 2$, a contradiction. Therefore $J(G)$ has at least one Inj-isolated vertex.

iii) Since for every vertex v of the wheel graph the $deg_{inj}\{v\} = p - 1$. Hence $d_{inj}(J(W_p)) = p$

(iv) and (v) the proof is obvious.

Evidently each CN-dominating set in $J(G)$ is an Inj-dominating set in $J(G)$ and any

CN- domatic partition is an Inj-domatic partition. We have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.3.: For any graph $J(G)$, $d_{inj}(J(G)) \geq d_{cn}(J(G))$.

Theorem 3.4 : For any graph $J(G)$ with p vertices, $d_{inj}(J(G)) \leq \frac{p}{\gamma_{inj}(J(G))}$

Proof: Assume that $d_{inj}(J(G)) = d$ and $\{D_1, D_2, D_3, \dots, D_d\}$ is a partition of $V(J(G))$ into

d numbers of Inj-dominating sets, clearly $|D_i| \geq \gamma_{inj}(J(G))$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$. n we have $p = \sum_{i=1}^d |D_i| \geq d \gamma_{inj}(J(G))$, Hence $d_{inj}(J(G)) \leq \frac{p}{\gamma_{inj}(J(G))}$

Theorem 3.5: For any graph $J(G)$ with p vertices, $d_{inj}(J(G)) \geq \lfloor \frac{p}{p - \delta_{inj}(J(G))} \rfloor$

Proof: Let D be any subset of $V(J(G))$ such that $|D| \geq p - \delta_{inj}(J(G))$. For any vertex $v \in V - D$ we have $|N_{inj}\{v\}| \geq 1 + \delta_{inj}(J(G))$. Therefore $N_{inj}(v) \cap D \neq \emptyset$. Thus D is an Inj-dominating set of $J(G)$. So we can take any $\lfloor \frac{p}{p - \delta_{inj}(J(G))} \rfloor$ disjoint subset each of cardinality $p - \delta_{inj}(J(G))$. Hence

$$d_{inj}(J(G)) \geq \lfloor \frac{p}{p - \delta_{inj}(J(G))} \rfloor$$

Theorem 3.6: For any graph such that $d_{inj}(J(G)) \leq \delta_{inj}(J(G)) + 1$. Further the equality holds If $J(G)$ is complete graph $J(K_p)$

Proof: Let $J(G)$ be a graph such that $d_{inj}(J(G)) > \delta_{inj}(J(G)) + 1$. Then there exists at least $\delta_{inj}(J(G)) + 2$ Inj-dominating sets which they are mutually disjoint. Let v be any vertex in $V(J(G))$ such that $deg_{inj}(J(G)) = \delta_{inj}(J(G))$. Then there is at least one of the Inj-dominating sets which has no intersection with $N_{inj}\{v\}$. Hence, that Inj-dominating set can not dominate v , a contradiction. Therefore $d_{inj}(J(G)) \leq \delta_{inj}(J(G)) + 1$. It is a obvious if $J(G)$ is complete, then $d_{inj}(J(G)) > \delta_{inj}(J(G)) + 1$.

Theorem 3.7: For any graph $J(G)$ with p vertices $d_{inj}(J(G)) + d_{inj}(J(\bar{G}^{inj})) \leq p + 1$.

Proof: From Theorem 3.6, we have $d_{inj}(J(G)) \leq \delta_{inj}(J(G)) + 1$. and $d_{inj}(J(\bar{G}^{inj})) \leq \delta_{inj}(J(\bar{G}^{inj})) + 1$, and clearly $\delta_{inj}(J(\bar{G}^{inj})) = p - 1 - \Delta_{inj}(J(G))$. Hence

$$d_{inj}(J(G)) + d_{inj}(J(\bar{G}^{inj})) \leq \delta_{inj}(J(G)) + p - \Delta_{inj}(J(G)) + 1 \leq p + 1$$

Theorem 3.8: For any graph $J(G)$ with p vertices and without Inj-isolated vertices, $d_{inj}(J(G)) + \gamma_{inj}(J(G)) \leq p + 1$.

Proof: Let $J(G)$ be a graph with p vertices. Then by Theorem 2.22, we have

$$\gamma_{inj}(J(G)) \leq p - \Delta_{inj}(J(G)) \leq p - \delta_{inj}(J(G)),$$

And also from Theorem 3.6, $d_{inj}(J(G)) \leq \delta_{inj}(J(G)) + 1$. Then

$$d_{inj}(J(G)) + \gamma_{inj}(J(G)) \leq \delta_{inj}(J(G)) + 1 + p - \delta_{inj}(J(G))$$

Hence,

$$d_{inj}(J(G)) + \gamma_{inj}(J(G)) \leq p + 1$$

References:

- [1] A.Alwardi, B.Arsit'c. I.Gutman and N.D.Sonar, The common neighborhood graph and its energy, Iran J.Math.Sci.Inf, 7(2) 1-8 (2012)
- [2] Anwar Alwardi ,N.D.Sonar and Karn Ebadi, On the common neighborhood domination number, Journal of Computer and Mathemsatical Sciences 2(3),547=556 (2011)
- [3] S.Armugum, C.Sivagnanam, Neighborhood connected and total domination in graphs,Proc.Int.Conf.on Disc.Math.,2334 B.Chalubaraju, V.Lokesha and C.Nandesh kumar Mysore 45-51(2008).
- [4] B.Chalubarju, Some parameters on neighborhood number of a graph , Electronic Notes of Discrete Mathematics Elsevier,33 139-146 (2009)
- [5] F.Harary, Graph theory, Addison-Wesley, Reading Mass (1969)
- [6] T.W.Haynes,S.T. Hedetneimi and P.J.Slater,Fundamentals of domination in graph.Marcel Dekker.Inc., NewYork (1998).
- [7] S.M. Hedetneimi, S.T. Hedetneimi, R.C. Laskar , L.Markus and p/J/Slater, Disjoint dominating sets in graphs,Proc.Int.Conf.on Disc.Math. IIM-IISc ,Banglore 88-101(2006).
- [8] V.R.Kulli and S.C.Sigarknti,Further results on the neighborhood number of a graph Indian J.Pure and Appl.Math.23(8)575-577)1992).
- [9] E.Sampathkumar and P.S.Neeralgi, The neighborhood number of a graph ,Indian Pure and Appl.Math.16(2)126-132 (1985).
- [10] H.B.Walikal,B.D.Acharya and E.Sampathkumar, Recent developments in the theory of domination in graphs, Mehta Research institute,Allahabad,MRI Lecture Notes in Math.I (1979)
- [11] N.Pratap Babu Rao , Sweta.N, Total efficient Domination in Jump graphs, International journal of Mathematical Archieve 10(1) 2019 pp 21-25.
- [12] Anwar Alwardi, R.Rangarajan and Akram Alqesmah On the injective domination of graphs,Palestine Journal of Mathematics Vol.7(1) (2008) 202-219.