

# A Review Study on Urban Sprawl

Sahil Patel<sup>1</sup>, Sejal S Bhagat <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Student of Town & Country Planning, Sarvajani College of Engineering & Technology, Surat

<sup>2</sup>Professor of Town & Country Planning, Sarvajani College of Engineering & Technology, Surat

\*\*\*

**Abstract** - Urban sprawl is an issue that has allocated many discussions to itself in academic, policymaking and government departments. Although sprawl is an American phenomenon, however it ought to be indicated that it is unique by using environmental, economic, social and political situations. In the latest decades, the urban boom has no longer been adopted with residents' needs and due to this process, many lands were applied for construction. Regarding mentioned issues in cities, this text tries to surveys the consequences of sprawl by way of precise cognition of characteristics and reasons and introduces appropriate guidelines at the give up on it. Controlling travels, participation in providing infrastructures costs, controlling boom and protection of lands and redevelopment of inner-core regions are a number of proposed policies

**Key Words:** urban sprawl, characteristics, result, controlling policies, upward mobility, Social mobility, Urban sprawl.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Urban sprawl is one of the issues in the towns nowadays. In the beyond decade's towns had outline and particular boundaries, but they have neglected their geographical regions by immoderate boom today. The word "Urban Sprawl" means an extra boom than the same old and what makes it different from the urban increase is this excessive nature. Cities generally tend to boom and planned increase is reached while there is the appropriate proportion between urban increase and concrete organism. But whilst the boom is more than usual, its stress at the boundaries, the city will face new most important troubles. The fast pace of the world's urban population growth, particularly in growing countries, is one of the essential challenges for governments and making plans agencies. Today, three.9 billion people—54 percent of the world's population—are living in urban regions and are predicted to reach three billion in 2050, with nearly 90 percent of the future urban population increase being in growing world towns (United Nations, 2015). Without any doubt, this trend has been a good-sized spatial manifestation and will maintain in the future. The inevitable outcomes from this method are the spatial growth of towns and towns beyond their juridical limits and into their hinterlands and peripheries which will accommodate the growing city population. In this condition, we will want to conform to this process. Therefore, effective governance and making plans to attain a more sustainable urban form are important for city planners and coverage makers. In other words, city-regions and their spatial extension are needed to reduce wasteful use of non-renewable resources, to avoid

the disruption of the ecological equilibrium, to lessen social inequities, and to sell inclusive and sustainable development.

## 2. Characteristics and Controlling Policies of urban sprawl

There are many definitions of urban sprawl. In the oxford dictionary, it has been described as "the disorganized and unattractive enlargement of an urban or industrial place into the adjoining countryside". In the other references, according to their viewpoints and goals, they have emphasized on special factors. The European Environment Agency (EEA) has defined sprawl as the bodily sample of low-density expansion of huge urban areas, beneath-market conditions, in particular into the surrounding agricultural areas. Sprawl is the leading fringe of urban growth and implies little planning manipulate of land subdivision. Development is patchy, scattered and strung out, with a bent for discontinuity. It leap-frogs over regions, leaving agricultural enclaves. Downs believes that sprawl does no longer mean every type of increase and it has a definite form that may be introduced as unlimited outward extension of development, low-density residential and industrial settlements, leapfrog development, fragmentation of powers over land use amongst many small localities, dominance of transportation by means of private automobile vehicles, loss of centralized planning or control of land uses, enormous strip commercial development, notable financial disparities amongst localities, segregation of kinds of land use in one-of-a-kind zones, and reliance at the trickle-down or filtering method to offer housing to low-earnings households. As it was noted, sprawl has a few characteristics that differentiate it from different forms of urban boom and specialists have one-of-a-kind viewpoints approximately its reasons. Bruckner and Fansler studies in 40 United States urbanized areas inside the US by surveying indicators such as agricultural land price, commuting expenditure, income, show that sprawl is a result that has received over time. Rely on Miezowski & Mills researches, urban sprawl is a result of income and populace boom, transportation structures improvements, range in preference of customers and opposition for land. These are herbal signs. Some other signs consisting of excessive taxation, crimes, broken infrastructures; numbers of educational facilities have visible in central areas and give a boost to urban sprawl. A third principle of what may reason more urban decentralization is primarily based on what has been termed the "fiscalization of land use". Bruckner believes that growing in earnings and population and decreasing in commuting costs purpose to sprawl. Increasing in population emerges the wishes to space. From a different

point, by using profits boom, residents tend to larger homes and as a reaction to this problem, excessive approaches and infrastructures will be built. Land cost is the opposite element that has stated in studies. From the Ewing viewpoint, 4 elements cause city sprawl: customer preference, technological innovation, subsidies and public and quasi- public goods. After surveying the traits and reasons for urban sprawl, we will remember the wonderful and negative effects of this phenomenon. Regardless of advantageous aspects of city sprawl, the maximum of the viewpoints recollects on negative consequences. Part of these refers to core-place troubles. We can point out troubles that include a concentration of poverty, low exceptional educational centers and lack of economic resources. In transportation, sprawl reason to greater commuting, long travels, and extra congestion. Households are in a position to skip more distances to get admission to better housing, educational centers, and task and it intensifies via getting entry to infrastructures. Sprawl will increase the fee of infrastructures. We can mention a few examples including excessive ways, parking, water, electricity costs. In addition, it will purpose of using more electricity, the emergence of pollutants and getting rid of lands. Living in these regions additionally has many psychic and social costs. We can identify two types of psychic expenses: deprivation of access and environmental deprivation. Finally, we can declare that sprawl has special outcomes in three levels of cities, new districts, and metropolitan regions. Sprawl affects old facilities and cities by the creation of the latest problems that motive missing aggressive power. In new districts, it causes making ready new infrastructures, generating more travels, getting rid of agricultural land and reduction of social interactions. The third stage of effects that impact metropolitan regions is growing infrastructure charges, destructive to environmental resources, the use of extra power and increasing pollutants.

### 3. CONCLUSIONS

In this research with the aid of surveying urban sprawl characteristics and definitions, we delivered this phenomenon. After this step, by way of surveying literature, we expressed its causes. According to outcomes, major reasons to emersion this phenomenon are populace and earnings boom, low rate of lands, access to suitable housing and low costs of infrastructures and transportation. But no matter the advantageous consequences of city sprawl, this issue finally leads to some issues in cities, new districts and concrete areas that we will mention to say no in internal city, traffic and congestion, lengthy travels, increase in infrastructure prices and reduction of environmental quality and social interactions. Therefore, according to these problems we have to utilize suitable guidelines. Participate in providing infrastructure costs, manipulate travels, create city limitations, redevelopment of inner-core regions, aid smart increase strategies, growth density and create a compact city, electricity the position of local government and efficient control are examples that may be used as appropriate policies for the stated problem. In the end,

overstated points we have to indicate that no matter proposed rules, there may be no precise answer to this problem and it wishes greater researches in exclusive aspects. Moreover, in keeping with the regions and cities traits, we'd do policymaking and apply appropriate solutions to govern urban sprawl. The role of city policies, private sectors, city government and planners in this trend are some critical subjects which have been left out in a maximum of surveyed studies and consequently, they want to be similarly researched. According to the bad results of city sprawl, it's far vital to develop rules to decrease its bad effects. There are many regulations that everyone concentrates on a distinct aspect of this trouble.

- Control travels: Surveys indicate that decreasing the number of private auto ownership is one of the foremost techniques for controlling sprawl. More taxation and toll gate is the other solution.
- Create city limitations: Urban obstacles in edges of towns will manipulate city sprawl. In this regard, the handiest constructing in inner districts may be allowed and concrete size will not be extended.
- Participate in providing infrastructure costs: Surveys display that city sprawl has got expenses 20 times greater than the normal boom due to needs to news ways, schools, housing and public services. So growing resident's percentage of fees performs a critical role in controlling sprawl.
- Betterment of low-earnings household's residing conditions: One vital part of citizens in the suburb is folks that migrate for the development of their family life. Paying monetary credits, instruction of low-cost housing and local subsidies are a few solutions for improving their living conditions.
- Redevelopment of inner-core regions: This action causes growth in urban land charges. Usage of lands and enterprising in industrial deserted lands, blank business plots and metro stations areas, rehabilitation of abandoned homes and historic homes are a few examples of this policy.
- Control boom and protection of lands: Open areas have high-cost tax determination for changing land uses causes a lower within the charge of land changes.
- Urban consolidation: Urban consolidation has been introduced as an answer for more appropriate utilization of lands and infrastructures inside the built-up area of a city. It interests restriction of the use of urban lands, a discount of infrastructure charges, and using greater public transportation.
- Support smart growth strategies: Smart increase concentrate on compact development and redevelopment constructed up to a place in a city.

• Creative and efficient management: offering innovative city guidelines will cause the appropriate increase. So, planners should be able to determine the cost and path of development and its prices.

## REFERENCES

- [1] European Environment Agency. Urban Sprawl in Europe, the Ignored Challenge. European Commission: Joint Research Center 2006: 6, 23, 24-5.
- [2] Downs A. Some realities about sprawl and urban decline. *Housing Policy Debate* 1999; 10(4): 955-73.
- [3] Brueckner JK. Urban sprawl: Diagnosis and remedies. *International Regional Science Review* 2000;23: 160-71.
- [4] Gordon P, Richardson H. Critiquing sprawl's critics. *Policy Analysis* 2000, 365: 1-18.
- [5] Ewing R, Pendall R, Chen D. *Measuring Sprawl and its Impact*. Washington: Smart Growth America; 2008: 2-31.
- [6] Ewing R. Is Los Angeles-Style sprawled desirable? *Journal of the American Planning Association* 1997; 63(1): 107-126.
- [7] Galster J, Hanson R, Ratcliffe MR, Wolman H, Coleman S, Freihage F. Wrestling sprawl to the ground: Defining and measuring an elusive concept. *Housing Policy Debate* 2001; 12(4): 681-717.
- [8] Sierra Club. *The Dark Side of the American Dream: The Costs and Consequences of Suburban Sprawl*. College Park, MD: Challenge to Sprawl Campaign; undated.
- [9] Glaeser E, Kahn M, Chu Ch. *Job Sprawl: Employment Location in U.S. Metropolitan Areas*. Center for Urban & Metropolitan Policy. Washington, D.C.: The Brookings Institution; 2001.
- [10] Pendall R. Do land-use controls cause sprawl? *Environment and Planning* 1999; 26: 555-71.
- [11] Angle Sh, Parent J, & Civco D. Urban sprawl metrics: An analysis of global urban expansion using GIS, in *Annual Conf. Tampa, Florida*; 2007:p. 1-3
- [12] Kahn M. Does sprawl reduce the black/white housing consumption gap? *Housing Policy Debate* 2001; 12(1): 7-25.
- [13] Fulton W, Pendall R, Nguyen M, Harrison A. *Who sprawls most? How growth patterns differ across the U.S.* Center for urban and metropolitan policy, the brookings institution: Washington, D.C.; 2001.
- [14] Couch Ch, Karech J. *The Consequences of Urban Sprawl: A Case Study of Wirral, Merseyside, Liverpool*. John Moores University; 2007:1-21.
- [15] Arellano B, Roca J. The urban sprawl: A planetary growth process? An overview of the USA, Mexico and Spain, in *6th Int. Conf. Virtual City and Territory*, Center for Land Policy and Valuations, The Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, BarcelonaTech (UPC); 2010: p 5-15.
- [16] Lei Q. Socio-economic forces behind sprawl and compactness in Beijing, in *Int. Conf. of sustainable urban areas*; 2007, Rotterdam: p 1-14.
- [17] Brueckner J K, Fansler DA. The economics of urban sprawl: Theory and evidence on the spatial size of cities, *the Review of Economics and Statistics* 1983; 65( 3): 479-482.