

# Effect on the Strength Properties of Soil using Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag and Sodium Sulphate.

Samiul Hussain Wani<sup>1</sup>, Neeraj Sharma<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>M.Tech Student, Civil Engineering, Sri Sai College of Engg & Tech, Badhani Pathankot, India

<sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, Civil Engineering Department, Sri Sai College of Engg & Tech, Badhani Pathankot, India

\*\*\*

**Abstract** - Stabilization aims at improving the strength of soil increasing the resistance of soil against softening (due to water) by bonding the soil particles together. These improvements through stabilization include increasing the weight bearing capacities, tensile strength and the overall performances of soils.

In the present study, the potential of GGBS along with Sodium Sulphate to stabilize clayey soils has been evaluated. The GGBS is a material obtained by quenching molten iron slag (a by-product of iron) from a blast furnace in water or steam. The main objectives of this research were to investigate the effect of GGBS and Sodium Sulphate on the engineering properties (liquid limit, plastic limit, optimum moisture content, maximum dry density, compaction etc.) of the soil and to determine the engineering properties of the stabilized soil. GGBS was added in the percentages of 10%, 15%, 20% & 25% while as the Sodium Sulphate was added in percentages of 1%, 2% & 3% by the weight of soil. Considerable changes in the index properties and compaction characteristics were observed which are explained based on a series of experimental results. The addition of GGBS and Sodium Sulphate to the optimum values, increases the strength of mixtures. The maximum dry density was also found increasing with the addition of GGBS and Sodium Sulphate.

**Key Words:** Clay Soils, Stabilization of Soil, GGBS, Sodium Sulphate, Compaction characteristics.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Soils are advanced mixtures of minerals, water, air, organic matter, and innumerable organisms that are the decaying remains of once-living things. It forms the at the surface of the land i.e. it is the skin of earth. The particles that frame soil are classified into three terms by size- sand, silt, and clay. Sand particles are the biggest and clay particles the tiniest. Most soils are a combination of the three. The relative percentages of sand, silt, and clay are what offer soil its texture.

For the construction of any structure, the role of soil is very crucial. It acts as a medium of load transfer as the soil is in direct contact with the structure and hence for any analysis of forces acting on structure, it is necessary to consider the aspect of stress distribution through soil, as stability of structure itself depends on soil properties. Construction of civil engineering structures on expansive soils, however,

pose a major risk to the structure in itself, because of the greater degree of instability in these kinds of soil. Now-a-days a lot of construction work is being undertaken throughout the world in the case of highways as well as buildings, both commercial and residential. Very often the available soil is not suitable for construction purposes due to its changing behaviour caused by various factors. Therefore, there's a necessity to go for appropriate technique of low value construction followed by a method of stage development of the roads, to satisfy the growing wants of road traffic. The development may be significantly diminished by choosing native materials as well as native soils for the development of the lower layers of the pavement like the sub-base course and subgrade soil. If the soundness of the native soil isn't adequate for supporting the wheel masses, the properties square measure improved by soil stabilization techniques. The stabilization is the best way to improve the soil used as a foundation for construction of various types of structures. In the present study we have used the stabilization is the technique improving the engineering properties of weak soil by using various stabilizing agents is called soil stabilization.

In this paper utilization of industrial by-products as suitable admixture to enhance the geotechnical properties of clayey soils is presented. Hence an attempt has been made to improve the strength and behaviour of clayey soil using GGBS a by-product of iron ore and Sodium sulphate in this work. Various tests are carried out according to the IS standards and the different test results have been evaluated.

## 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

J.Vijaya Chandra (2017) the effect of Granulated blast furnace slag on black cotton soil was determined in this investigation. The soil was replaced with granulated blast furnace slag in different proportion of 10, 20, 30% and 40% by dry weight of soil. The MDD of the soil increased from 15.7kN/m<sup>3</sup> to 16.8kN/m<sup>3</sup> upon increasing the percentage of GBFS replacing the soil. The OMC of the soil blended with GBFS increased slightly with slag percentage. Free swell index of the soil was found to be decreased by 44% for 30% of slag replacement and thereby degree of expansiveness also decreased. The angle of internal friction showed a considerable improvement from 2° to 14° when GBFS replaced the soil by 30%. The decrease in cohesion occurred from 55kpa to 42kpa when 30% GBFS replaced the soil. The CBR value (soaked and unsoaked) showed a drastic

improvement when 30% GBFS replaced the soil. The increase in CBR value for soaked (CBR from 1.9% to 11.5%) and for unsoaked (7.6% to 17.5%).

**Kshipra Kapoor (2017)** The main objective of the present study is to improve various engineering properties of the soil by using waste material Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag (GGBS) as an alternative to lime or cement. This paper includes the evaluation of soil properties like unconfined compressive strength test and California bearing ratio test. The soil sample was collected from Lalru and addition to that, different percentages of GGBS (0%, 6%, 12 %, 18 % and 24%) was added to find the variation in its original strength. Based on these results CBR test was performed with the GGBS percentages (0%, 6%, 12 %, 18 % and 24%). It is observed that with increase of slag, more stability of soil is achieved as compared to using lime alone. C B R value for soaked and unsoaked increases with increases in percentage of GGBS that show the densification of soil takes place and more suitable for pavement thickness.

**Khalifa Harichane (2017)** The effect of Sodium Sulphate (0-6% by dry weight of soil) on the behavior of the grey clayey soils and red clayey soils stabilized with lime (L) (0-8%), natural pozzolana (NP) (0-20%) was investigated. The soil specimens were subjected to testing of direct shear strength after 7, 30, 60, and 120 days of curing period. At short curing period and for any content of Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, there was a gradual increase in shear strength and the shear parameters. However at any curing periods, the shear strength and shear parameters of both stabilized clayey soils on curing with 2% Sodium Sulphate are still higher than that of the L and/or L-NP treated the same soils without Sodium Sulphate. The degradation of two stabilized clayey soil specimens after 30 days of curing and the gradual reduction of their cohesions and shear strengths can be explained by the formation of ettringite due to the presence of a high content of Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>.

**Dayalan j (2016)** This study briefly describes the suitability of the local fly ash and ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS). In this present study, different amount of fly ash and GGBS are added separately i.e. 5, 10, 15 and 20% by dry weight of soil are used to study the stabilization of soil. It is observed that with the increases of fly ash and GGBS percentage, optimum moisture content goes on decreasing while maximum dry density goes on increasing, hence compact ability of soil increases and making the soil denser and hard. The maximum optimum moisture content of 14.8% is reached at 10% of fly ash and of 13.7% is reached at 10% of GGBS. This showed that the optimum value based on OMC is 10%. The CBR value increase with increase in amount of fly ash and attained maximum value at 15% and again decreases.

**Ashish Kumar Pathak (2014)** Soil is mixed with GGBS and their engineering properties were determined. The experimental setup and the test procedure have been planned in such a way that it takes into account all the related aspects. Soil with various amounts of GGBS added to

determine the effect on compressive strength of soil and the effect on shear parameter of soil with 0 % to 25% by dry weight of soil. C B R value for soaked and unsoaked increases with increases in percentage of GGBS that show the densification of soil takes place and more suitable for pavement thickness.

**Dr.H.N.Ramesh (2013)** Shedi soil was collected from shedigudda near Mangalore, Karnataka State, India by open excavation from a depth of 2 m below natural ground level. It was air-dried and pulverized in a ball mill after separating the pebbles. This pulverized soil was passed through 425-micron sieve, used for the investigation. With the addition of 1% Sodium Sulphate to Shedi soil, optimum Neyveli fly ash mixtures the liquid limit decreases on immediate testing. However, there was increase in liquid limit with curing up to 7 days and remains almost constant with further curing. Maximum dry density and optimum moisture content increases with the addition of 1% Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. This indicates the improvement in strength of soil for immediate testing with the addition of 1% Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>.

**Laxmikant Yadu (2013)** The paper evaluated the potential of Granulated Blast Furnace Slag to stabilize a soft soil. Soft soil samples were collected Tatibandh-Atari Chattisgarh. Different amounts of GBS, i.e. 3, 6, 9 and 12% were used to stabilize soft soil. The performance of GBS stabilized soils was evaluated using physical and strength performance tests namely, plasticity index, specific gravity, free swelling index, compaction, swelling pressure, California bearing ratio (CBR). The liquid limit, plastic limit and plasticity index of the raw soil were found 46%, 29% & 17% respectively. The results indicate that the use of GBS to the soft soil significantly improves the physical and the strength properties of soil. MDD increased while OMC decreased with the addition of GBS. There is significant reduction in the swelling behaviour of the soil. Based on the strength tests, optimum amount of GBS was determined as 9%. Soaked CBR and UCS values increased about 400% and 28% respectively by the addition of optimum amount of GBS. Following items when proofreading spelling and grammar:

### 3. OBJECTIVES

- 1) To investigate the effect of GGBS and Sodium Sulphate on various engineering properties of clayey soil by mixing them with the soil in varying percentages.
- 2) To improve the strength of clayey soil by stabilizing the soil using varying percentages of GGBS and Sodium Sulphate.
- 3) To study the effect of GGBS and Sodium Sulphate on the Consistency Limits i.e. Liquid Limits, Plastic Limits, and Plasticity Index of the clayey soil.
- 4) To evaluate the variations in maximum dry density with the optimum moisture content on addition of different proportions of GGBS.

5) To find the optimum quantity of Granulated Blast Furnace Slag and Sodium Sulphate used for improvement of clayey soil.

#### 4. MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY

##### 4.1.1 Clayey Soil

Clay refers to present materials that are composed primarily of fine-grained minerals. It's a finely grained natural rock that mixes one or a lot of clay minerals with traces of metal oxides (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, MgO etc). These soils produce swelling after they are exposed to water and shrink once water is squeezed out. These changes cause failure to the civil infrastructure. Clay soil is reddish brown in color, has small particles and small pores between them. Clay soil tends to stay together causing water to fill up the air spaces. Clay are compressible, i.e. if a moist mass is subjected to compression, moisture and air may be expelled resulting in the reduction in volume.

##### 4.1.2 Sodium Sulphate

It is also produced from by-products of chemical processes such as hydrochloric acid production. In 1625, Johann Rudolf Glauber discovered the sodium sulphate from Austrian spring water, there so the hydrate form is known as Glauber's salt. Due to its medicinal properties, he named it as salt mirabilis (miraculous salt). The crystals were used as a general purpose laxative. Glauber's salt was also used as a raw material for the industrial production of soda ash.

**Table 1** Properties of Sodium Sulphate

<b>Molecular formula</b>	Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>
<b>Molecular weight</b>	142.04gm/mole (ahyd), 322.20gm/mole (decahyd)
<b>Appearance</b>	White crystalline solid
<b>Melting point</b>	8840 C (anhydrous), 32.40C (decahydrate)
<b>Density</b>	2.664gm/ml (anhydrous), 1.464gm/ml (decahydrate)

##### 4.1.3 Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag

GGBS is produced as a by-product during the manufacture of iron. This material is obtained by heating iron ore, limestone and coke in a blast furnace at a temperature of about 1500 degree Celsius. A molten slag is produced at the end of this process and this slag is then allowed to pass through high pressure water jet. This results in quenching of the particles which results in formation granules of size lesser than 5mm in diameter. The particles are further dried and ground in a rotating ball mill to form a fine powder, known as ground

granulated blast furnace slag cement. It is found that working with GGBFS is easy as it has greater mobility characteristics. This is due to its fineness and the particle shape of the GGBFS particles. These also possess a lower relative density. The main constituents that are found in abundance in according to the percentages present in GGBS are CaO (30%-50%), SiO<sub>2</sub> (28%-40%), Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (8%-24%) & MgO (1%-18%).

**Table 2** Physical Properties of GGBS

Property	Value
Physical form	Off White Powder
Bulk Density(kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	1200
Specific Gravity	2.9
Specific Surface(m <sup>2</sup> /kg)	425-470

#### 4.2 METHODOLOGY

In the present study, GGBS and Sodium Sulphate were used as the additives to stabilize clayey soil. GGBS was added in percentages of 10%, 15%, 20%, 25% while as Sodium Sulphate was mixed in 1%, 2% & 3% respectively by dry weight of soil. The properties of the blended mix were evaluated in the laboratory and compared to obtain an optimum value of GGBS and Sodium Sulphate content for stabilizing the clayey soil.

#### 4.3 TESTS INVOLVED

The following tests are to be conducted on virgin soil as well as soil containing different proportion of Sodium Sulphate and Granulated Blast Furnace Slag to determine the various parameters proposed in the objectives;

- Consistency Limits - IS 2720 Part-5, 1985
- Proctor Compaction – IS 2720 Part-8, 1983.

#### 5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS


##### 5.1 Atterberg's Limits

The atterberg's limits of the blended soil was determined as per IS 2720 (part5)-1985. This test includes the determination of liquid limit, plastic limit and the plasticity index of the soil. Both the values of liquid limit and plasticity index decrease with the increase in the percentage of GGBS and Sodium Sulphate. While as with the increase in the percentage of GGBS and Sodium Sulphate the values of plastic limit were found to increase.

The variations in the values of consistency limits with the addition of GGBS and Sodium Sulphate are tabulated in Table 3.


**Table 3** Variation of LL, PL and PI for various proportions of Soil, GGBS and Sodium Sulphate

Soil: GGBS: Sodium Sulphate (%)	LL (%)	PL(%)	PI (%)
100 : 0 : 0	50.20	27.82	22.38
89: 10 : 1	48.11	28	20.11
84 : 15 : 1	47.52	28.84	19.04
79 : 20 : 1	46.88	29.01	17.87
74 : 25 : 1	44.84	29.44	15.40
88 : 10 : 2	43.79	30.28	13.51
83 : 15 : 2	43.01	30.84	12.17
78: 20 : 2	42.22	31.23	10.99
73: 25 : 2	42.94	31	11.94
87: 10 : 3	43.54	30.96	12.58
82 : 15 : 3	44.01	29.82	14.19
77 : 20 : 3	44.95	29.01	15.94
72 : 25 : 3	45.23	28.88	16.35




**Fig 2** Variations in Consistency limits of mix having 2% of Sodium sulphate with various percentages of GGBS

Figure 2 shows the consistency limits of the clay mixed with 2% of Sodium Sulphate with 10%, 15 %, 20%, and 25% of GGBS. It shows that the liquid limit and plasticity index of the mix decrease while as the plastic limit increases with the increasing percentages of GGBS. The liquid limit and plasticity index decreases upto a mix of 2% of Sodium Sulphate and 20% of GGBS and same is in case of plastic limit. After this mix, the values show opposite results.



**Fig 1** Variations in Consistency Limits of mix having 1% of Sodium Sulphate with various proportions of GGBS.

Figure 1 shows the consistency limits of the clay mixed with 1% of Sodium Sulphate with 10%, 15 %, 20%, and 25% of GGBS. It shows that the liquid limit and plasticity index of the mix decrease while as the plastic limit increases with the increasing percentages of GGBS.



**Fig 3** Variations in Consistency Limits of mix having 3% of Sodium Sulphate with various percentages of GGBS.

Figure 3 shows the consistency limits of the clay mixed with 3% of Sodium sulphate with 10%, 15%, 20% and 25% of GGBS. It show that the value of liquid limit and plasticity index showed a gradual increase while as plastic limit showed decrease in the values.

### 5.2 Standard Proctor Test


The test was performed as per the IS Standards (IS 2720 Part-8, 1983). This test was used to evaluate the compaction characteristics of the clayey soil and to establish the relation




between dry density and moisture content. Varying percentages of GGBS and Sodium Sulphate were added to soil and the effect of these additives on the value of optimum moisture content and the maximum dry density was determined. GGBS was added in the percentages of 10%, 15%, 20%, 25% while as the Sodium Sulphate was added in 1%, 2%, 3% percentages to the dry weight of soil. On the other hand, a decrease in the optimum moisture content value was observed with the increase in the percentage of GGBS and Sodium Sulphate, this is due to decrease in the quantity of the free silt and clay fraction, hence smaller surface areas require less water. This implies, less water is needed to compact the soil with GGBS mixtures. The variations in the values of optimum moisture content and the maximum dry density of the clay soil samples can be tabulated in Table 4.

**Table 4** Variation of MDD and OMC Values for Various Mix Proportion

Soil: GGBS: Sodium Sulphate (%)	OMC (%)	MDD (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )
100:0:0	13.33	1.729
89:10:1	13.19	1.778
84:25:1	13.01	1.828
79:20:1	12.87	1.801
74:25:1	12.47	1.754
88:10:2	12.18	1.785
83:15:2	12	1.795
<b>78:20:2</b>	<b>11.87</b>	<b>1.884</b>
73:25:2	12.88	1.801
87:10:3	13.03	1.774
82:15:3	13.87	1.701
77:20:3	14.01	1.692
72:25:3	14.46	1.611



**Fig 4** OMC v/s percentage of GGBS with 1%, 2%, 3% of Sodium Sulphate with different proportions of Soil.



**Fig 5** MDD v/s %age of GGBS with 1%, 2%, 3% of GGBS and 1%, 2%, 3% of Sodium Sulphate with different proportions of Soil.

## 6. CONCLUSIONS

1. With the increase in the percentage of GGBS and Sodium Sulphate, liquid limit and plasticity index of the soil decreased, while as the plastic limit of the soil increased.
2. The values of Consistency Limits for Optimum mix (78:20:2) are found to be as follows LL=42.22, PL=31.23, PI=10.99.
3. It is observed that with the increase in GGBS and Sodium Sulphate percentages, the optimum moisture content goes on decreasing while as the maximum dry density goes on increasing gradually. This in turn makes the soil harder and dense.
4. The Optimum Moisture Content decreases from 13.33% to 11.87% while as the Maximum Dry Density increases from 1.729g/cm<sup>3</sup> to 1.884g/cm<sup>3</sup> with the increase in the percentages of GGBS and Sodium Sulphate.

## REFERENCES

- (1) Koneti Narendra, Adari Devi Prasad. "Effect Of Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag on Expansive Soils Under Static Loading" VFSTR Journal of STEM, Vol. 03, No. 01 (2017) 2455-2062.
- (2) J.Vijaya Chandra, P.Meher Lavanya. "Effect of Granulated Blast Furnace Slag in the Stabilization of Expansive Soil for the Pavement Sub-Grades" IRJET Volume: 04 Issue: 05May - 2017

(3) Er. Rehana Rasool ,Er. Kshipra Kapoor. “Comparative study on Stabilization of Soil with Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag (GGBS)” International Journal of Latest Research in Science and Technology, Volume 6, Issue 3: Page No.37-41, May-June 2017.

(4) LaxmikantYadu, Dr.R.K.Tripathi. “Effect of Granulated Blast Furnace Slag in Engineering Behavior of Stabilized Soft Soil” Procedia Engineering 51 (2013) 125 – 131, 1877-7058 © 2013 The Authors. Published by Elsevier.

(5) Dr.H.N.Ramesh, Dr.H.S.Nanda and Dr. K.V. Manoj Krishna. “Effect of Sodium Sulphate on the Index Properties and Compaction Behaviour of Neyveli Fly Ash- Shedi Soil Mixtures” IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering (IOSR-JMCE) e-ISSN: 2278-1684,p-ISSN: 2320-334X, Volume 6, Issue 3 (May. - Jun. 2013), PP 56-62.

(6) D.D. Higgins, J.M. Kinuthia and S. Wild –Soil Stabilization using Lime-Activated Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag|| volume 178, pp.1057-1074|June 1, 1998

(7) Abhlilash Devanoor and Dr. M. S. Nagakumar ICRTIET-2014 “STUDIES ON SOIL STABILIZATION USING BLAST FURNACE SLAG”. International Journal of Advanced Engineering Research and Technology (IJAERT).

(8) Gyanen Takhelmayum, savitha A.L and Krishna Gudi – “Experimental Studies on Soil Stabilization Using Fine and Coarse GGBS”. International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering Volume 3, Issue 3, March 2013.

(9) Sridevi G and Sreerama Rao A- “Efficacy of GGBS Stabilized Soil Cushions with and Without Lime in Pavements”. International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Computational and Applied Sciences (IJETCAS).

(10) Asish Kumar Pathak, Dr.V.Pandey, (2014), “Soil Stabilization Using Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag”, International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications, Vol4, Issue4, May 2014, pp.164-171.

(11) Oormila T.R., Preeti.T.V. (2014), “Effect of Stabilization Using Flyash and GGBS in Soil Characteristics”, International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology (IJETT) – Volume 11 Number 6 - May 2014,pp 284-289.

(12) Anil Kumar Sharma and P.V. Sivapullaiah, (2012) “Improvement of strength of expansive soil with waste granulated blast furnace slag”, Proceedings of Geo congress 2012, ASCE: 3920-3928.