

Normative Legal Scaffold on Mau Forest in Kenya and Eco-Tourism – A Critical Perusal

Makori Felix Asande

Department of Studies in Law, University College of Law Building, Dharwad – 580 001

Abstract - For long, Forests in Kenya are susceptible by indefensible uses and transfer to eccentric terrain uses. In spite of the consequences of forest deprivation and biodiversity trouncing and dependence of communities on forests living, little has been done for the necessary arrangements on the displacement of inhabitant in mau forest. There is little arrangement to source of revenue of social players around Mau Forest especially the Ogiek and other kikuyu ethnicist groups. The ogiek ethnic groups Ogiek have found inventive ways to uphold their daily survival for instance maintaining conventional methods of bee keeping in within the mau forest. However, restraint in admittance forest terrain have resulted in them captivating frantic measures, like disposing of land to the Kalenjin in what is called anguish land vending. On the divergent, the adjoining Kikuyu have upheld their land possession status regardless of repeated tribal clashes that have cropped up throughout general vote years.¹ Therefore this paper tries to look unto the legal protection of mau forest dwellers in Kenya and how eco tourism has improved their way of survival through the sustainable use of forest resources.

Key words: Mau Forest, ethnic groups, Eco- tourism, Critical Perusal

1. INTRODUCTION

Mau is one the convoluted forest in the fissure gorge of Kenya. It is the prevalent indigenous mountainous forest in East Africa. The forest has an area roughly 273,300 hectares (675,000 acres) which is at monetary and ecological smidgen of the unrelenting decrepitude of the immense ecosystem through actions such as illegitimate logging, expurgations and intrusion.² The forest region has some of the peak rainfall rates in Kenya.³ Besides it's the prevalent drainage basin in Kenya with numerous rivers originating from it.⁴ For elongated this forest has been sadden with holler and the most affected are negligible groups whose main spring of livelihood depends on the forest generate like firewood, thatching supplies and building material. In recent epoch, the major groups inhabiting in this vicinity have faced indecorous eviction, closing down of schools and revealing these venerable groups to barbarous status .The unswerving involvement of political privileged in the Mau intricate expulsion stands in the way of the administration doggedness to purge the forest of illegal dwellers. This has led to the integer of Rift Valley legislatures who have expressed the deliberated eviction as victimization of their communities a head of 2022 general election to foil the present deputy president Dr. William Ruto's presidential dream.⁵ Certainly the most benefited in Mau are the political rank and private developers who push the minorities to resist eviction for this because some of them have benefited from the allotment of part of the massive quantity of terrain throughout the Kanu⁶ administration under the regime of president Moi the second president of Kenya who ruled for 24 years.⁷ Along with himself, he allotted massive land in Mau forest. He also established Nyayo tea zone which was to act as bulwark girdle 100 meters into the forest. However some had extended as far as a kilometer into

¹Jemaiyo Chabeda-Barthe & Tobias Haller, 2018. "Resilience of Traditional Livelihood Approaches Despite Forest Grabbing: Ogiek to the West of Mau Forest, Uasin Gishu County," Land, MDPI, Open Access Journal, vol. 7(4), available <https://ideas.repec.org/a/gam/jlands/v7y2018i4p140-d183470.html>

² <https://www.change.org/p/save-mau-and-mount-kenya-forest-from-extinction>

³ <https://globalbiodiversityprotection.org/en/save-mt-kenya-forest-from-extinction-group/>

⁴ <https://web.archive.org/web/20081123061311/http://www.africanconservation.org/content/view/946/405/>

⁵ Morris Kiruga "Evictions in Kenya's Mau Forest inflame tensions old and new The Africa report available <https://www.theafricareport.com/17215/evictions-in-kenyas-mau-forest-inflame-tensions-old-and-new/>

⁶ Kenyan political party. Organized in 1960, KANU was one of two major political parties formed in preparation for independence available <https://www.britannica.com/topic/Kenya-African-National-Union>

⁷ Is a Kenyan politician who served as the second President of Kenya from 1978 to 2002. Through popular agitation and external pressures, he was forced to allow multiparty elections in 1991; he led his party, KANU, to victory in the 1992 and 1997 elections. Prior to becoming President, he served as the third Vice President of Kenya from 1967 to 1978 available https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Daniel_arap_Moi

towards the forest terrain.⁸ After the egress of Moi command, the new coalition governments lead by president Kibaki come into rule in 2003. He appointed a committee preside over by Mr Paul Ndung'u to probe the illegitimate distribution of public terrain all over the nation.⁹ This lasted up to 2008 after the new constitution which incorporated the provisions on land and natural resource. This brought into picture the issue of redressal of historical land injustice under Article 67(2) (E) Of the Constitution and Section 5(1) (E) of the National Land Commission Act.¹⁰ In Ndugu account, it was established that scores of these beneficially got land far in intemperance of what would be not compulsory for a commonplace settlement plan.¹¹

1.1 Abusive Eviction from Mau Forest

As the tragedy flanking Mau evictions is perceived a political altercation, the parties drawn in the workout have always emerged to stand for the interest of confident powers within the government. Cabinet secretary for Environment Keriako Tobiko had pledged to eject the big fish owning massive of terrain in the cosmic Mau Forest.¹²

Admittedly, the way in which the government had carried the whole process of eviction in Mau lack decorousness. Majority of the affected families are from the ogiek ¹³ mostly women and children who were not permitted to access water and other fundamental amenities during evection as set on fire and devastation of houses went on. There farm inputs, belongings were shattered. The dislodged families are in addition faced hunger.

Evictions kicked off in early February 2019 in what the government had considered as an exertion to thwart the devastation of Mau Forest.¹⁴ The Ogiek Peoples National Assembly, Ogiek Rural Integral Projects, Ogiek Welfare Council, and Ogiek Peoples Development Program¹⁵ issued a joint press release on February 22 behind the government's hard work to care for the Mau forest but requested the administration to distinguish their rights to land within the forest. In the avowal, the organizations avowed that the Ogiek have subsisted in the forest and preserved its possessions for hundreds of years.¹⁶

1.2 Failure to Uphold Eviction Guidelines

On collapse to espouse eviction strategy in Mau, Human Rights observe established that Kenyan system botched to value both state and global laws. According to which all victims of strained evictions are unconstrained to sufficient reimbursement and relocation besides, the authorities did not issue tolerable notice to the affected public.

The procedure for eviction call for administration representative to kick off discussion with the affected society and also providing full information on the options settlement places and recompense. The guidelines say the government has to make certain that in the juncture that conformity cannot be arrived at on the wished-for option by the pretentious people, cluster communities and the body suggesting the compulsory eviction in subject, the quarrel shall in the first request be referred to intercession Committee comprising of council from the affected group, bureaucrat from the Ministry of Lands, legislature from the festivity intending to abide the expulsion and a delegate from the Kenya National Commission on Human Rights.¹⁷

Further the rule necessitates the government to obtain unique measures to make certain that there is no illogical deficit of possessions as a consequence of expulsion. Assets and possessions left behind reluctantly should be secluded against devastation, capricious, illegitimate misappropriation and occupation.¹⁸

⁸Nyayo Tea Zones Eating Into Kenya Forests available <https://www.capitalfm.co.ke/news/2009/08/nyayo-tea-zones-eating-into-kenya-forests/>

⁹ <http://cemusstudent.se/wp-content/uploads/2013/01/Ndungu-Land-Report.pdf>

¹⁰ <http://erepository.uonbi.ac.ke/handle/11295/100286>

¹¹ <http://www.africanconservation.org/content/view/946/405/>

¹² Emmanuel Eprong "Spare no one in Mau Forest if evictions are not political" the star available <https://www.the-star.co.ke/opinion/star-blogs/2019-09-13-spare-no-one-in-mau-forest-if-evictions-are-not-political/>

¹³ ibid

¹⁴ <https://www.hrw.org/news/2019/09/20/kenya-abusive-evictions-mau-forest>

¹⁵ ibid

¹⁶ ibid

¹⁷ <https://www.hrw.org/news/2019/09/20/kenya-abusive-evictions-mau-forest>

¹⁸ ibid

However the Government administrators held that they had given sufficient notice, as well as conducting public meetings with society a month in move on. But the public interrogated said they were uninformed of the government's plan to throw out them.¹⁹

2. Triumph for Ogiek ethnic group in momentous court verdict

2017 in a milestone pronouncement, the African Court ²⁰ had lined that the government of Kenya desecrated the rights of the Ogiek tribe by continually evicting them from their familial lands. The court established that the administration had derelict seven articles of the African Charter and prearranged it to take all suitable actions to tonic the defiance. The Ogiek had take legal action on government for contravention to their right to existence, natural possessions, faith, civilization, property, progress and equal rights rule.²¹ The case was instigated by the Ogiek Peoples Development Program (OPDP), the Center for Minority Rights Development (CEMIRIDE) and Minority Rights Group International,²²

Ogiek rights over ancestral terrain are already acknowledged in both the Kenyan Constitution under article 63²³ and the enacted Community Land Law, ²⁴ of which Kenyan administration was supposed to fully esteem the Court's judgment and take instantaneous steps to tonic the infringement experienced by Ogiek over years.

3. Role of Ogiek Community in Eco-Tourism and Environmental Conservation

The ogiek are the aboriginal hunter-gatherers, they are measured to be the original residents of central Kenya's upland area. The trouncing of their familial terrain would jeopardize their endurance, culture and depart thousands of them with no lay to go majority of the Ogiek natives as they are just fatalities of capitalistic interest in the Mau forest multifarious.²⁵

Their living activities, bee keeping, setting up some eco tourism camps for tourist that are attuned with forest upkeep. Preponderance maintains traditional way of life and is sustainable for the environment.²⁶ Therefore such activities have less impact to the environment.

4. CONCLUSION

A greater deal of the Ogiek's wealthy woods in mau has been overrun and shattered by interloper, and transformed into logging dispensation. Some administration bureaucrats have frequently endeavored to rationalize the evictions in the name of preservation, by fallaciously condemning the tribe of devastating the forest. Evictions are often aggressive and Ogiek natives have lost lives and their abode blazed properties lost too. They have never received any recompense. Majority are into ecotourism activities which are less harmful to the environment. therefore the rights of this community and other forest dwellers should be take to consideration and the government should consider of going for the real culprits who harm the forest possibly senior official who if not have served the government , still the administration.

REFERENCES

- 1) PLO Lumumba, M.K. Mbondenyei, S.O Odero The Constitution of Kenya"1st edition 2012
- 2) The Community Land Act, No. 27 of 2016
- 3) Kate Stone, African Court of Human and People's Rights (Advocates for International Development, February 2012). Legal Guide (2012)
- 4) Jemaiyo Chabeda-Barthe & Tobias Haller, 2018. "Resilience of Traditional Livelihood Approaches Despite Forest Grabbing: Ogiek to the West of Mau Forest, Uasin Gishu County," Land, MDPI, Open Access Journal, vol. 7(4),

¹⁹ Kenya: Abusive Evictions in Mau Forest Stop Excessive Use of Force; Uphold Guidelines

²⁰ Kate Stone, African Court of Human and People's Rights (Advocates for International Development, February 2012). Legal Guide (2012)

²¹ <https://www.survivalinternational.org/news/11702>

²² <https://www.culturalsurvival.org/publications/cultural-survival-quarterly/african-court-delivers-landmark-judgment-ogiek-land-rights>

²³ PLO Lumumba, M.K. Mbondenyei, S.O Odero The Constitution of Kenya"1st edition 2012

²⁴ The Community Land Act, No. 27 of 2016

²⁵ <https://www.culturalsurvival.org/news/mau-forest-evictions-leave-ogiek-homeless>

²⁶ Nathan Siegel " Kenyan indigenous ogiek faces eviction from their ancestral forest again" available <https://news.mongabay.com/2018/10/kenya-indigenous-ogiek-face-eviction-from-their-ancestral-forest-again/>

- 5) <https://www.culturalsurvival.org/news/mau-forest-evictions-leave-ogiek-homeless>
- 6) <https://www.culturalsurvival.org/publications/cultural-survival-quarterly/african-court-delivers-landmark-judgment-ogiek-land-rights>
- 7) <https://www.hrw.org/news/2019/09/20/kenya-abusive-evictions-mau-forest>
- 8) <http://www.africanconservation.org/content/view/946/405/>
- 9) <https://www.the-star.co.ke/opinion/star-blogs/2019-09-13-spare-no-one-in-mau-forest-if-evictions-are-not-political/>
- 10) <https://www.survivalinternational.org/news/11702>
- 11) <http://erepository.uonbi.ac.ke/handle/11295/100286>
- 12) <https://www.capitalfm.co.ke/news/2009/08/nyayo-tea-zones-eating-into-kenya-forests/>
- 13) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Daniel_arap_Moi
- 14) <https://www.britannica.com/topic/Kenya-African-National-Union>
- 15) <https://www.theafricareport.com/17215/evictions-in-kenyas-mau-forest-inflame-tensions-old-and-new/>
- 16) <https://news.mongabay.com/2018/10/kenya-indigenous-ogiek-face-eviction-from-their-ancestral-forest-again>
- 17) <https://www.change.org/p/save-mau-and-mount-kenya-forest-from-extinction>
- 18) <https://globalbiodiversityprotection.org/en/save-mt-kenya-forest-from-extinction-group/>
- 19) <https://web.archive.org/web/20081123061311/http://www.africanconservation.org/content/view/946/405/>
- 20) <https://ideas.repec.org/a/gam/jlands/v7y2018i4p140-d183470.html>
- 21) <http://cemusstudent.se/wp-content/uploads/2013/01/Ndungu-Land-Report.pdf>