International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET) e-ISSN: 2395-0056
Volume: 05 Issue: 07 | July 2018 www.irjet.net p-ISSN: 2395-0072

EFFECT OF SETBACK ON FUNDAMANTAL PERIOD OF RC FRAMED BUILDINGS: REVIEW

Vivek Singh Thakur[1], Rohit Rai [2]

[1]*Post Graduate Student, Structural Engineering,* Maharashi University of Information Technology, Lucknow , *Uttar Pradesh,* India
[2]Assistant Professor, Dept. of Civil Engg. *Maharashi University of Information Technology*, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India

---

Abstract - *During earthquake, the motion of the ground does not harm the building by any external force, but it is building large-scale internal roots in the building which is due to the vibration of the building's mass. Due to earthquake, the magnitude of the lateral force depends mainly on the root mass, ground acceleration and dynamic characteristics of the building. To represent ground motion and structural behavior, design codes provide a response spectrum. Response spectrum easily describes the top reactions of the structure as a function of natural vibration duration. Therefore, it is necessary to study the natural vibration period of the building to understand the seismic reaction of the building. The behavior of the multi-storey building depends on the distribution of mass, hardness and power in the horizontal and vertical planes of the building during strong earthquake speeds. In multi-storey buildings, the damage caused by the earthquake motion of the earth usually starts at places of structural vulnerability present in the lateral load-resistant frame. In some cases, these weaknesses can be made by imbalance between hardness, strength or mass in the adjacent floor. Such imbalances between floors are often associated with sudden variation with height in frame geometry. Due to such vertical discontent, there are many examples of the failure of buildings in the previous earthquake. A common type of vertical geometric irregularity in building structures is due to a sudden reduction in the sudden level of lateral level of the building. This building category is known as the construction of the shock.*

This study shows that it is difficult to measure irregularity in the formation of a shock with a single parameter. Also, this study indicates that there is a very poor connection between the three dimensional buildings with the final dimensional or design code used to define the setback unregulated with the original dimension. Design code geometry is not the only way to define setback irregularity. The period of shock buildings is always less than the same regular building. The basic period of the finished building without any hard building depends only on the height of the building, but also depends on bay width, irregularity and other structural and geometric standards. It is not advisable to associate the origin of the framed building with the height given in the design code only.

Key Words: Geometric irregularity, Setback building, Fundamental period, Regularity index, Correction factor.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background and Motivation

Due to earthquake, the magnitude of the lateral force depends mainly on the root mass, ground acceleration and dynamic characteristics of the building. To represent ground motion and structural behavior, design codes provide a response spectrum. The reaction spectrum easily describes the top reactions of the structure in the form of a natural vibration duration, moisture ratio and founder soil type. It is necessary to determine the fundamental duration of the structures for earthquake design and evaluation. Seismic analysis of most structures is done using linear static (linear) static and linear dynamic (reaction spectrum) methods. The lateral power calculated according to the equivalent static method depends on the structural mass and the basic structure of the structure. The empirical equation of the fundamental period of the buildings given in the design code is the work of height and base dimensions of buildings. Theoretically the reaction spectrum method uses model analysis to calculate the natural period of the building, calculate the base shear. However, some international codes (such as IS 1893: 2002 and ASCE 7: 2010), according to the original duration, specify the base shear (and other reaction quantities) according to specific empirical sources, improvements for spectrum for feedback Analysis, make this base shear (or any other reaction volume) equal to equivalent static analysis. Therefore, using the code empirical formula, valuation of the original period is necessary for the seismic design of buildings.

Inclination in buildings introduces the decrease in sudden decrease in the floor area with the height of the building. Due to its functional and beauty architecture, this building is becoming increasingly popular in building multi-storey building. Specifically, this type of shock provides sufficient daylight and ventilation for lower floors in urban areas, where there is close proximity to long buildings. These shocks affect the center of the hardness of mass, strength, hardness, center of mass and construction of shocks. Due to the change in geometrical and structural properties, the

dynamic properties of such buildings are different from the regular building. Design codes are not clear about the definition of height construction for calculating the fundamental period. The waste version of the height in the Setback Building makes it difficult to calculate the natural period of such buildings. With this background, it is necessary to study the effects of shock on the fundamental period of buildings. Apart from this, the performance of empirical equation given in Indian standard IS 1893: 2002 is a matter of concern for structural engineers to assess the fundamental period of tall buildings. This is the primary motivation under the current study.

2. OBJECTIVES OF CURRENT STUDY

The main objectives of the present study have been identified as:

a) Resist frame (MRF) with the different number of stories, bays, configurations and types of irregularities, to conduct a parametric study of the basic moments of different types of reinforced concrete moment.

b) Based on the model analysis, the code with the fundamental period was calculated using empirical equations and Rayleigh methods to compare the fundamental duration of each structure.

3. Scope of the Study

a) Current studies are limited to reinforced concrete (RC) multi-storey building frames.

b) In the current study, Inflastic hardness is not considered. However, the mass and weight related to the analysis is considered.

c) Buildings of 30 floors installed from 6 floors with different degree of irregularity are considered.

d) Buildings are considered to be shock in only one direction.

e) Soil-structure interactions in the present study are not considered. Column ends are fixed in the foundation.

4. Methodology

The steps taken in the current study are to achieve the above objectives as follows:

a) Review comprehensive literature for the establishment of research work objectives.

b) A complete set of setback building frame model with bay width in different height (6 to 30 floors), bay horizontal direction (5 meters, 6 meters and 7 meters bay width) and various irregularities (limited to 90 setback building models) .c) Perform free vibration analysis for each of 90 building models.

d) Analysis of the results of free vibration analysis.

REFERENCES

1. Al-Ali, A.A.K. and Krawinkler, H. (1998). "Effects of Vertical Irregularities on Seismic Behavior of Building Structures", Report No. 130, The John A. Blume Earthquake Engineering Center,Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford, U.S.A

2. Aranda, G.R. (1984). "Ductility Demands for R/C Frames Irregular in Elevation", Proceedings of the Eighth World Conference on Earthquake Engineering, San Francisco, U.S.A., Vol. 4, pp. 559-566

3. Chintanapakdee, C. and Chopra, A.K. (2004). "Seismic Response of Vertically Irregular Frames: Response History and Modal Pushover Analyses", Journal of Structural Engineering, ASCE, Vol. 130, No. 8, pp. 1177-1185 .

4. Chopra,A. K. (2003). Dynamics of structures: theory and applications to earthquake engineering. Prentice – Hall, Englewood Cliffs,N.J.

5. Das, S. and Nau, J.M. (2003). "Seismic Design Aspects of Vertically Irregular Reinforced Concrete Buildings", Earthquake Spectra, Vol. 19, No. 3, pp. 455-477.

6. Esteva, L. (1992). "Nonlinear Seismic Response of Soft-First-Story Buildings Subjected to Narrow- Band Accelerograms", Earthquake Spectra, Vol. 8, No. 3, pp. 373-389.

7. Fragiadakis, M., Vamvatsikos, D. and Papadrakakis, M. (2006). "Evaluation of the Influence of Vertical Irregularities on the Seismic Performance of a Nine-Storey Steel Frame", Earthquake Engineering & Structural Dynamics, Vol. 35, No. 12, pp. 1489-1509.