

PARAMETRIC OPTIMIZATION OF TURNING PARAMETERS OF CNC **MACHINE**

Raj Kumar Yadav¹, Ramesh Kumar Yadav²

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering AIST Sagar M.P. ²M.Tech Scholar, Department of Mechanical Engineering AIST Sagar M.P.

***______

Abstract - This thesis was all about the experimentation work, data analysis and the results of the experimental out come. By this chapter one can find that the Feed rate is the most effective process parameter, then depth of cut at last the cutting speed while turning IRS:R-19 material with both cemented carbide tools of 0.4mm and 0.8mm nose radius and also found that the tool with larger nose radius provides better surface finish all shown by experimental work.

KEY WORD-: CNC, depth of cut, surface roughness, MRR (Material Removal Rate), Taguchi method, ANOVA.

1. INTRODUCTION

TURNING OPERATION

Turning is the removal of metal from the outer diameter of a rotating cylindrical work piece. Turning is used to reduce the diameter of the work piece, usually to a specified dimension, and to produce a smooth finish on the metal. Often the work piece will be turned so that adjacent sections have different diameters. Turning is the machining operation that produces cylindrical parts. In its basic form, it can be defined as the machining of an external surface:

- With the work piece rotating.
- With a single-point cutting tool, and
- With the cutting tool feeding parallel to the axis of the work piece and at a distance that will remove the outer surface of the work.
- ADJUSTABLE CUTTING FACTORS IN TURNING

The three primary factors in any basic turning operation are speed, feed, and depth of cut. Other factors such as kind of material and type of tool have a large influence, of course, but these three are the ones the operator can change by adjusting the controls, right at the machine.

Speed: Speed always refers to the spindle and the work piece. When it is stated in revolutions per minute (rpm) it tells their rotating speed. But the important feature for a particular turning operation is the surface speed, or the speed at which the work piece material is moving past the cutting tool. It is simply the product of the rotating speed times the circumference of the work piece before the cut is started. It is expressed in meter per minute (m/min), and it refers only to the work piece. Every different diameter on a work piece will have a different cutting speed, even though the rotating speed remains the same.

$v = \pi DN/1000 m/min$

Here, v is the cutting speed in turning, Dis the initial diameter of the work piece in mm, andN is the spindle speed in RPM. Feed: Feed always refers to the cutting tool, and it is the rate at which the tool advances along its cutting path. On most power-fed lathes, the feed rate is directly related to the spindle speed and is expressed in mm (of tool advance) per revolution (of the spindle), or mm/rev.

$F_{m=f,N}$ mm/min

Here, F_m is the feed in mm per minute, f is the feed in mm/rev and N is the spindle speed in RPM.

Depth of Cut: Depth of cut is practically self explanatory. It is the thickness of the layer being removed (in a single pass) from the work piece or the distance from the uncut surface of the work to the cut surface, expressed in mm. It is important to note, though, that the diameter of the work piece is reduced by two times the depth of cut because this layer is being removed from both sides of the work.

 $d_{cut} = D - d/2 mm$

Here, D and d represent initial and final diameter (in mm) of the job respectively.

CNC Machines: Nowadays, more and more Computer Numerical Controlled (CNC) machines are being used in every kind of manufacturing processes. In a CNC machine, functions like program storage, tool offset and tool compensation, program-editing capability, various degree of computation, and the ability to send and receive data from a variety of sources, including remote locations can be easily realized through on board computer. The computer can store multiple-part programs, recalling them as needed for different parts.

MESUREMENT OF SURFACE ROUGHNESS

Surface properties such as roughness are critical to the function ability of machine components. Increased understanding of the surface generation mechanisms can be used to optimize machining process and to improve component function ability. The experiment is conducted to determine the effect of parameters such as feed rate, tool nose radius, cutting speed and depth of cut on surface roughness in turning the axle. The first step is to demonstrate the use of Taguchi parameter design in order to identify the optimum process parameter for surface roughness. The second is to demonstrate a systematic procedure using Taguchi design in process design of turning operations. In this experiment both were achieved. Value of surface roughness (Ra) is given in table 2

	Noco	Cutting		Donth	Surface Deughness in			Mean	Signal to
Test.	Radius	velocity	Feed Rate	of Cut	Surface Roughness in			Roughness	Noise Ratio
No	(mm)	(m/min)	(mm/rev)	(mm)	Ra1 Ra2 Ra3		Ra (µm)	(S/N) ratio	
1	0.4	80	0.06	0.10	3.48	3.32	2.82	3.21	-10.15
2	0.4	80	0.08	0.20	9.80	5.84	5.16	6.93	-17.18
3	0.4	80	0.10	0.40	6.20	9.00	5.60	6.93	-17.01
4	0.4	120	0.06	0.40	5.30	6.70	6.80	6.27	-15.99
5	0.4	120	0.08	0.10	3.25	6.12	5.92	5.10	-14.42
6	0.4	120	0.10	0.20	8.40	7.16	5.64	7.07	-17.09
7	0.4	160	0.06	0.20	3.60	4.36	3.78	3.91	-11.88
8	0.4	160	0.08	0.40	3.16	6.23	5.24	4.88	-14.05
9	0.4	160	0.10	0.10	5.80	5.50	3.83	5.04	-14.18
								Mean	
	Nose	Cutting		Depth	Surface	Roug	hness	Mean Surface	Signal to
Test.	Nose Radius	Cutting velocity	Feed Rate	Depth of Cut	Surface in(µm)	Roug	hness	Mean Surface Roughness	Signal to Noise Ratio
Test. No	Nose Radius (mm)	Cutting velocity (m/min)	Feed Rate (mm/rev)	Depth of Cut (mm)	Surface in(µm) Ra1 R	Roug	hness 3	Mean Surface Roughness Ra (μm)	Signal to Noise Ratio (S/N) ratio
Test. No	Nose Radius (mm) 0.8	Cutting velocity (m/min) 80	Feed Rate (mm/rev) 0.06	Depth of Cut (mm) 0.10	Surface in(μm) Ra1 R 2.35	Roug a2 Ra 2.55	hness 3 3.11	Mean Surface Roughness Ra (μm) 2.67	Signal to Noise Ratio (S/N) ratio -8.59
Test. No 1 2	Nose Radius (mm) 0.8 0.8	Cutting velocity (m/min) 80 80	Feed Rate (mm/rev) 0.06 0.08	Depth of Cut (mm) 0.10 0.20	Surface in(μm) Ra1 R 2.35 7.20	Roug a2 Ra 2.55 4.67	hness 3 3.11 5.05	Mean Surface Roughness Ra (μm) 2.67 5.64	Signal to Noise Ratio (S/N) ratio -8.59 -15.19
Test. No 1 2 3	Nose Radius (mm) 0.8 0.8 0.8	Cutting velocity (m/min) 80 80 80	Feed Rate (mm/rev) 0.06 0.08 0.10	Depth of Cut (mm) 0.10 0.20 0.40	Surface in(μm) Ra1 R 2.35 7.20 4.05	Roug 2.55 4.67 8.00	hness 3 3.11 5.05 4.00	Mean Surface Roughness Ra (μm) 2.67 5.64 5.35	Signal to Noise Ratio (S/N) ratio -8.59 -15.19 -15.07 -15.07
Test. No 1 2 3 4	Nose Radius (mm) 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8	Cutting velocity (m/min) 80 80 80 120	Feed Rate (mm/rev) 0.06 0.08 0.10 0.06	Depth of Cut (mm) 0.10 0.20 0.40 0.40	Surface in(μm) Ra1 R 2.35 7.20 4.05 4.68	Roug a2 Ra 2.55 4.67 8.00 5.81	hness 3 3.11 5.05 4.00 4.67	Mean Surface Roughness Ra (μm) 2.67 5.64 5.35 5.05	Signal to Noise Ratio (S/N) ratio -8.59 -15.19 -15.07 -14.12
Test. No 1 2 3 4 5	Nose Radius (mm) 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8	Cutting velocity (m/min) 80 80 80 120 120	Feed Rate (mm/rev) 0.06 0.08 0.10 0.06 0.08	Depth of Cut (mm) 0.10 0.20 0.40 0.40 0.10	Surface in(μm) Ra1 R 2.35 7.20 4.05 4.68 2.70	Roug a2 Ra 2.55 4.67 8.00 5.81 4.90	hness 3.11 5.05 4.00 4.67 3.97	Mean Surface Roughness Ra (μm) 2.67 5.64 5.35 5.05 3.85	Signal to Noise Ratio (S/N) ratio -8.59 -15.19 -15.07 -14.12 -11.95 -11.95
Test. No 1 2 3 4 5 6	Nose Radius (mm) 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8	Cutting velocity (m/min) 80 80 80 120 120 120 120	Feed Rate (mm/rev) 0.06 0.08 0.10 0.06 0.08 0.10	Depth of Cut (mm) 0.10 0.20 0.40 0.40 0.10 0.20	Surface in(µm) Ra1 R 2.35 7.20 4.05 4.68 2.70 9.17	Roug a2 Ra 2.55 4.67 8.00 5.81 4.90 6.48	hness 3 3.11 5.05 4.00 4.67 3.97 5.40	Mean Surface Roughness Ra (μm) 2.67 5.64 5.35 5.05 3.85 7.02	Signal to Noise Ratio (S/N) ratio -8.59 -15.19 -15.07 -14.12 -11.95 -17.14
Test. No 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Nose Radius (mm) 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8	Cutting velocity (m/min) 80 80 80 120 120 120 120 120 160	Feed Rate (mm/rev) 0.06 0.08 0.10 0.06 0.08 0.10 0.08 0.10 0.08 0.10	Depth of Cut (mm) 0.10 0.20 0.40 0.40 0.40 0.10 0.20 0.20	Surface in(μm) Ra1 R 2.35 7.20 4.05 4.68 2.70 9.17 3.42	Roug a2 Ra 2.55 4.67 8.00 5.81 4.90 6.48 3.92	hness 3 3.11 5.05 4.00 4.67 3.97 5.40 3.40	Mean Surface Roughness Ra (μm) 2.67 5.64 5.35 5.05 3.85 7.02 3.58	Signal to Noise Ratio (S/N) ratio -8.59 -15.19 -15.07 -14.12 -11.95 -17.14 -11.10
Test. No 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Nose Radius (mm) 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8	Cutting velocity (m/min) 80 80 80 120 120 120 120 160 160	Feed Rate (mm/rev) 0.06 0.08 0.10 0.06 0.08 0.10 0.06 0.08 0.10	Depth of Cut (mm) 0.10 0.20 0.40 0.40 0.10 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.40	Surface in(μm) Ra1 R 2.35 7.20 4.05 4.68 2.70 9.17 3.42 2.53	Roug a2 Ra 2.55 4.67 4.67 8.00 5.81 4.90 6.48 3.92 4.36 3.6	hness 3 3.11 5.05 4.00 4.67 3.97 5.40 3.40 3.67	Mean Surface Roughness Ra (μm) 2.67 5.64 5.35 5.05 3.85 7.02 3.58 3.52	SignaltoNoiseRatio(S/N)ratio-8.59-15.19-15.07-14.12-14.12-11.95-17.14-11.10-11.12-11.12

Results and Calculations of ANOVA for Surface Roughness

Symbol	Cutting Parameter	DOF	Sum of Square	Mean Square	Fisher's Value	Percentage Contribution
Α	Cutting speed	2	9.18	4.59	1.44	19.01%
В	Feed rate	2	18.93	9.47	2.98	39.22 %
С	Depth of cut	2	13.82	6.91	2.17	28.64 %
Error=Total -Total (Actual)		2	6.34	3.17		13.13%
Total		8	48.27	24.14		

Table.1 Result of ANOVA for Surface Roughness contribution (tool nose radius 0.4 mm)

Table 7 Desult of ANOVA f	an Cunface Deuchmace	contribution (tool	maga madina 0.0	(hoor mond)
Table Z Result of ANUVA 1	or surface kougnness	contribution troot	nose radius u.a	inin usea i
rubiel integuite of finte the	or burnace noughiness	contribution (tool	nobe ruanab olo	min abeaj

Symbol	Cutting Parameter	DOF	Sum of Square	Mean Square	Fisher's Value	Percentage Contribution
Α	Cutting speed	2	11.15	5.57	2.22	20.22%
В	Feed rate	2	21.21	10.60	4.23	38.46%
С	Depth of cut	2	17.78	8.89	3.54	32.24%
Error=Total-Total (actual)		2	5.01	2.507		9.09%
Total		8	55.15	27.575		







Figure:2. Contribution pie chart for 0.8 mm tool

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

This paper has presented an investigation on the optimization and the effect of turning parameters on the surface finish of steel rod. The level of importance of the turning parameters on the surface finish is determined by using ANOVA. This chapter was all about the experimentation work, data analysis and the results of the experimental out come. By this chapter one can find that the Feed rate is the most effective process parameter, then depth of cut at last the cutting speed while turning IRS:R-19 material with both cemented carbide tools of 0.4mm and 0.8mm nose radius and also found that the tool with larger nose radius provides better surface finish all shown by experimental work.

REFRENCES

- 1. Mihir Thakorbhai Patel., "MULTI OPTIMIZATION OF PROCESS PARAMETERS BY USING GREY RELATION ANALYSIS-A REVIE", International Journal Of Advanced Research In IT And Engineering, June 2015,Vol.4,No,6.
- 2. K.Lipin and Dr.P.Govindan.,"A Review on Multi Objective Optimization of Drilling Parameters Using Taguchi Methods", AKGEC INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF TECHNOLOGY, Vol. 4, No.2.
- 3. Dinesh Kumar, L.P.Singh, Gagandeep Singh,,"Operational Modeling For Optimizing Surface Roughness In Mild Steel Drilling Using Taguchi Technique", International Journal of Research in Management, Vol. 2, No.3,2012,pp. 66-77.
- 4. S.S.Panda and S.S.Mohapatra.,"Parametric Optimization of Multi-response Drillling Process using Grey based Taguchi Methods", AIMS International Conference, Noida, 2008.
- 5. Raj Kumar Yadav and Sanjay Jathar.,"Parametric Optimisation Of MIG Welding Process With The Help of Taguchi Method", International Journal Of Engineering Research & Technology(IJERT),Vol.3, Issue 1,January2014.
- 6. Rajiv Chaudhary, M.S.Ranganath, R.C.Singh and Vipin.," Experimental Investigations and Taguchi Analysis with Drilling Operation: A Review"International Journal of Innovation and Scientific Research, Vol.13, No.1, Jan.2015, pp.126-135.
- 7. Praveen Kamboj, Sunil Kumar and Kamal Jangra,,"Application Of Taguchi Method And Grey Relational Analysis In Optimization Of Machining Processes: A Review", National Conference On Trends And Advances In Mechanical Engineering, Oct 2012.
- Raj Kumar Yadav. Using CFD Tools Heat Exchanger Design: A Review. Recent Trends in Fluid Mechanics. 2017; 4(3): 26–28p.
- 9. Raj Kumar Yadav. Parametric Optimization of Waste Plastic Fuel Parameters. Journal of Thermal Engineering and Applications. 2017; 4(3): 26–30p.