

A NEW SUB CLASS OF UNIVALENT ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH A MULTIPLIER LINEAR OPERATOR

DR. JITENDRA AWASTHI

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, S.J.N.P.G.COLLEGE, LUCKNOW-226001

ABSTRACT: This paper deals with a new class $T_{k,\mu}^m(\alpha, A, B)$ which is a subclass of uniformly starlike functions involving a multiplier linear operator $\mathfrak{I}_{k,\mu}^m$. Coefficients inequality, Distortion theorem, Extreme points, Radius of starlikeness and radius of convexity for functions belonging to this class are obtained.

Key words and phrases: Univalent, starlike, subordination, convex, analytic, linear operator.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let S denote the class of functions of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n,$$

which are analytic and univalent in the unit open disk $\Delta = \{z : |z| < 1\}$.

Silverman[9] had introduced and studied a subclass T of S consisting of functions of the form

$$(1.2) \quad f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, (a_n \geq 0, \forall n \geq 2)$$

Let f and g be analytic in Δ . Then g is said to be subordinate to f , written as

$g \prec f$ or $g(z) \prec f(z)$, if there exists a Schwartz function ω , which is analytic in Δ with $\omega(0)=0$ and $|\omega(z)| < 1 (z \in \Delta)$ such that $g(z) = f(\omega(z)) (z \in \Delta)$. In particular, if the function f is univalent in Δ , we have the following equivalence([3],[7])
 $g(z) \prec f(z) (z \in \Delta) \Leftrightarrow g(0) = f(0) \text{ and } g(\Delta) \subseteq f(\Delta)$.

Sharma and Raina ([4],[5],[6]) have introduced a multiplier linear operator $\mathfrak{I}_{k,\mu}^m$ for

$m \in Z, \mu > -1, k > 0$ by

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} \mathfrak{I}_{k,\mu}^m f(z) = f(z), & m = 0, \\ \mathfrak{I}_{k,\mu}^m f(z) = \frac{\mu+1}{k} z^{1-\frac{\mu+1}{k}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{\mu+1}{k}-2} \mathfrak{I}_{k,\mu}^{m+1} f(t) dt, & m \in Z^- = \{-1, -2, \dots\} \\ \mathfrak{I}_{k,\mu}^m f(z) = \frac{k}{\mu+1} z^{2-\frac{\mu+1}{k}} \frac{d}{dt} \left(z^{\frac{\mu+1}{k}-1} \mathfrak{I}_{k,\mu}^{m-1} f(z) \right), & m \in Z^+ = \{1, 2, \dots\} \end{cases}$$

The series representation of $\mathfrak{I}_{k,\mu}^m f(z)$ for $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) is given by

$$(1.4) \quad \mathfrak{I}_{k,\mu}^m f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{k(n-1)}{\mu+1} \right)^m a_n z^n,$$

Also

$$(i) \quad \mathfrak{I}_{k,0}^m = D_k^m, m \geq 0 \quad [1]$$

$$(ii) \quad \mathfrak{I}_{1,0}^m = D^m, m \geq 0 \quad [8]$$

(iii) $\mathfrak{I}_{1,1}^m = D^m, m \geq 0$ [10]

(iv) $\mathfrak{I}_{1,\mu}^m = I_\mu^m, m \geq 0$ [2]

Involving the operator $\mathfrak{I}_{k,\mu}^m$, we define a class $T_{k,\mu}^m(\alpha, A, B)$ as follows:

Definition 1.1: For fixed $A, B, -1 \leq B < A \leq 1, 0 < A \leq 1, 0 < \alpha \leq 1, z \in \Delta$, a function $f \in T$ is said to be in class $T_{k,\mu}^m(\alpha, A, B)$ if

$$(1.6) \quad \frac{z(\mathfrak{I}_{k,\mu}^m f(z))'}{\mathfrak{I}_{k,\mu}^m f(z)} \prec \frac{1 + [B + \alpha\{(1-\alpha) + (A-B)\}]z}{1 + Bz}, \quad z \in \Delta.$$

From the definition, it follows that $f \in T_{k,\mu}^m(\alpha, A, B)$ if there exists a function $w(z)$ analytic in Δ and satisfies $w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ for $z \in \Delta$, such that

$$\frac{z(\mathfrak{I}_{k,\mu}^m f(z))'}{\mathfrak{I}_{k,\mu}^m f(z)} = \frac{1 + [B + \alpha\{(1-\alpha) + (A-B)\}]w(z)}{1 + Bw(z)}, \quad z \in \Delta.$$

or equivalently

$$(1.7) \quad \left| \frac{\frac{z(\mathfrak{I}_{k,\mu}^m f(z))'}{\mathfrak{I}_{k,\mu}^m f(z)} - 1}{B + \alpha\{(1-\alpha) + (A-B)\} - B \frac{z(\mathfrak{I}_{k,\mu}^m f(z))'}{\mathfrak{I}_{k,\mu}^m f(z)}} \right| < 1, \quad z \in \Delta.$$

The main object of this paper is to obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for the functions $f(z) \in T_{k,\mu}^m(\alpha, A, B)$. Furthermore we obtain extreme points, distortion bounds, Closure properties, radius of starlikeness and convexity for $f(z) \in T_{k,\mu}^m(\alpha, A, B)$.

2. COEFFICIENTS INEQUALITY

Theorem 2.1: A necessary and sufficient condition for $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) to be in the class $T_{k,\mu}^m(\alpha, A, B)$ is that

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(n-1)(1-B) + \alpha\{(1-\alpha) + (A-B)\}] \theta_{k,\mu}^m(n) a_n \leq \alpha\{(1-\alpha) + (A-B)\}.$$

where $\theta_{k,\mu}^m(n) = \left(1 + \frac{k(n-1)}{\mu+1}\right)^m$ for $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1, 0 < A \leq 1, 0 < \alpha \leq 1$.

Proof: Assume that the inequality (2.1) holds true and $|z|=1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| z(\mathfrak{I}_{k,\mu}^m f(z))' - \mathfrak{I}_{k,\mu}^m f(z) \right| - \left| \mathfrak{I}_{k,\mu}^m f(z)[B + \alpha\{(1-\alpha) + (A-B)\}] - Bz(\mathfrak{I}_{k,\mu}^m f(z))' \right| \\ &= \left| \left(z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n \theta_{k,\mu}^m(n) a_n z^n \right) - \left(z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \theta_{k,\mu}^m(n) a_n z^n \right) \right| - \\ & \quad \left| \left(z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \theta_{k,\mu}^m(n) a_n z^n \right) [B + \alpha\{(1-\alpha) + (A-B)\}] - B \left(z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n \theta_{k,\mu}^m(n) a_n z^n \right) \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1) \theta_{k,\mu}^m(n) a_n z^n \right| - \\
&\quad \left| [\alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\}]z - [B + \alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\}] \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \theta_{k,\mu}^m(n) a_n z^n + B \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n \theta_{k,\mu}^m(n) a_n z^n \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1) \theta_{k,\mu}^m(n) a_n - \alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [-B(n-1) + \alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\}] \theta_{k,\mu}^m(n) a_n \\
&\leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(n-1)(1-B) + \alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\}] \theta_{k,\mu}^m(n) a_n - \alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\} \leq 0. \text{ : Conversely, suppose that the function } \\
&f(z) \text{ defined by(1.1) be in the class } T_{k,\mu}^m(\alpha, A, B).
\end{aligned}$$

Then from (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \frac{z(\mathfrak{I}_{k,\mu}^m f(z))' - 1}{\mathfrak{I}_{k,\mu}^m f(z)} \right| \\
&= \left| \frac{z(\mathfrak{I}_{k,\mu}^m f(z))' - \mathfrak{I}_{k,\mu}^m f(z)}{[B + \alpha\{(1+\alpha)+(A-B)\}] \mathfrak{I}_{k,\mu}^m f(z) - B z(\mathfrak{I}_{k,\mu}^m f(z))'} \right| \\
&= \left| \frac{- \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1) \theta_{k,\mu}^m(n) a_n z^n}{[B + \alpha\{(1+\alpha)+(A-B)\}] \left(z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \theta_{k,\mu}^m(n) a_n z^n \right) - B \left(z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n \theta_{k,\mu}^m(n) a_n z^n \right)} \right| < 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\operatorname{Re}(z) \leq |z|$ for all, we have

$$(2.2) \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1) \theta_{k,\mu}^m(n) a_n z^n}{\alpha\{(1+\alpha)+(A-B)\}z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [-B(n-1) + \alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\}] \theta_{k,\mu}^m(n) a_n z^n} \right\} < 1$$

We choose the value of z on the real axis so that $\frac{z(\mathfrak{I}_{k,\mu}^m f(z))'}{\mathfrak{I}_{k,\mu}^m f(z)}$ is real. Upon clearingthe denominator of (2. 2) and letting

$z \rightarrow 1^-$, we can write (2.2) as $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(n-1)(1-B) + \alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\}] \theta_{k,\mu}^m(n) a_n \leq \alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\}.$

Thus (2.1) proves the theorem.

The result is sharp. The extremal function being

$$(2.3) f(z) = z - \frac{\alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\}}{[(n-1)(1-B) + \alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\}] \theta_{k,\mu}^m(n)} z^n, n \geq 2.$$

Corollary 2.2: Let the function $f(z)$ defined by(1.1) be in the class $T_{k,\mu}^m(\alpha, A, B)$.Then

$$(2.4) \quad a_n \leq \frac{\alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\}}{[(n-1)(1-B)+\alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\}]\theta_{k,\mu}^m(n)}, n \geq 2.$$

3. DISTORTION THEOREMS

Theorem3.1: If $f(z) \in T_{k,\mu}^m(\alpha, A, B)$, then for $z \in \Delta$

$$(3.1) \quad \|f(z)| - |z\| \leq \frac{\alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\}}{[(1-B)+\alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\}]\left(1+\frac{k}{\mu+1}\right)^m}|z|^2, n \in N$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad |\Im_{k,\mu}^m f(z)| - |z| \leq \frac{\alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\}}{[(1-B)+\alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\}]}|z|^2, n \in N.$$

Proof: In view of inequality (2.1), it follows that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(n-1)(1-B)+\alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\}]\theta_{k,\mu}^m(n)a_n \leq \alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\}.$$

$$(3.3) \quad \text{or } \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \leq \frac{\alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\}}{[(n-1)(1-B)+\alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\}]\left(1+\frac{k}{\mu+1}\right)^m}, n \geq 2.$$

Therefore

$$|f(z)| \geq |z| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n |z|^n \geq |z| - |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n$$

or

$$(3.4) \quad |f(z)| \geq |z| - \frac{\alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\}}{[(1-B)+\alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\}]\left(1+\frac{k}{\mu+1}\right)^m}|z|^2$$

and

$$|f(z)| \leq |z| + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n |z|^n \leq |z| + |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n$$

or

$$(3.5) \quad |f(z)| \leq |z| + \frac{\alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\}}{[(1-B)+\alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\}]\left(1+\frac{k}{\mu+1}\right)^m}|z|^2$$

From (3.4) and (3.5) inequality (3.1) follows.

Further for $f(z) \in T_{k,\mu}^m(\alpha, A, B)$, the inequality (2.1) gives

$$[(1-B)+\alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\}]\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \theta_{k,\mu}^m(n)a_n \leq \alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\}.$$

$$(3.6) \text{ or } \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \theta_{k,\mu}^m(n) a_n \leq \frac{\alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\}}{[(1-B)+\alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\}]}, n \geq 2.$$

Thus,

$$|\mathfrak{I}_{k,\mu}^m f(z)| \geq |z| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \theta_{k,\mu}^m(n) a_n |z|^n \geq |z| - |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \theta_{k,\mu}^m(n) a_n$$

or

$$(3.7) \quad |\mathfrak{I}_{k,\mu}^m f(z)| \geq |z| - \frac{\alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\}}{[(1-B)+\alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\}]} |z|^2$$

$$\text{And } |\mathfrak{I}_{k,\mu}^m f(z)| \leq |z| + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \theta_{k,\mu}^m(n) a_n |z|^n \leq |z| + |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \theta_{k,\mu}^m(n) a_n$$

or

$$(3.8) \quad |\mathfrak{I}_{k,\mu}^m f(z)| \leq |z| + \frac{\alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\}}{[(1-B)+\alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\}]} |z|^2$$

On using (3.7) and (3.8) inequality (3.2) follows.

4. EXTREME POINTS

Theorem 4.1: Let

$$(4.1) \quad f_1(z) = z \text{ and } f_n(z) = z - \frac{\alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\}}{[(n-1)(1-B)+\alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\}]\theta_{k,\mu}^m(n)} z^n$$

for $n \geq 2$, then $f(z) \in T_{k,\mu}^m(\alpha, A, B)$, if and only if it can be expressed in the form

$$(4.2) \quad f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n f_n(z), \text{ where } d_n \geq 0 \text{ and } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n = 1.$$

In particular the extreme points of $T_{k,\mu}^m(\alpha, A, B)$ are the functions given by (4.1).

Proof: Let $f(z)$ be expressed in the form (4.1), then

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n f_n(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\}}{[(n-1)(1-B)+\alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\}]\theta_{k,\mu}^m(n)} z^n \\ &= z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} d_n b_n z^n \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Where } b_n = \frac{\alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\}}{[(n-1)(1-B)+\alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\}]\theta_{k,\mu}^m(n)}$$

Now, since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(n-1)(1-B)+\alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\}]\theta_{k,\mu}^m(n)d_n b_n &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\}d_n \\ &= \alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\}(1-d_1) \leq \alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $f(z) \in T_{k,\mu}^m(\alpha, A, B)$.

Conversely, let $f(z) \in T_{k,\mu}^m(\alpha, A, B)$, then (2.1) yields

$$a_n \leq \frac{\alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\}}{[(n-1)(1-B)+\alpha\{(1-\alpha)+(A-B)\}]\theta_{k,\mu}^m(n)} z^n \text{ for } n \geq 2.$$

Setting $d_n = \frac{[(n-1)(1-B) + \alpha\{(1-\alpha) + (A-B)\}]\theta_{k,\mu}^m(n)}{\alpha\{(1-\alpha) + (A-B)\}} a_n$ for $n \geq 2$

And $d_1 = 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} d_n$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } f(z) &= z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha\{(1-\alpha) + (A-B)\}}{[(n-1)(1-B) + \alpha\{(1-\alpha) + (A-B)\}]\theta_{k,\mu}^m(n)} d_n z^n \\ &= z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} d_n \{z - f_n(z)\} \\ &= z(1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} d_n) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} d_n f_n(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n f_n(z). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

5. RADIUS OF STARLIKENESS

THEOREM 5.1: Let $f(z) \in T_{k,\mu}^m(\alpha, A, B)$, then $f(z)$ is starlike in $|z| < r(\alpha, A, B)$, where

$$(5.1) \quad r = \inf \left[\frac{[(n-1)(1-B) + \alpha\{(1-\alpha) + (A-B)\}]\theta_{k,\mu}^m(n)}{n\alpha\{(1-\alpha) + (A-B)\}} \right]^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, \quad n \geq 2.$$

Proof: It suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| &< 1 \\ \text{i.e. } \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| &\leq \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1)a_n |z|^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n |z|^{n-1}} < 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$(5.2) \quad \text{or } \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n |z|^{n-1} < 1.$$

It is easily to see that (5.1) holds if

$$|z|^{n-1} < \left[\frac{[(n-1)(1-B) + \alpha\{(1-\alpha) + (A-B)\}]\theta_{k,\mu}^m(n)}{n\alpha\{(1-\alpha) + (A-B)\}} \right].$$

This completes the proof.

6. RADIUS OF CONVEXITY

THEOREM 6.1: Let $f(z) \in T_{k,\mu}^m(\alpha, A, B)$, then $f(z)$ is convex in $|z| < r(\alpha, A, B)$, where

$$(6.1) \quad r = \inf \left[\frac{[(n-1)(1-B) + \alpha\{(1-\alpha) + (A-B)\}]\theta_{k,\mu}^m(n)}{n^2 \alpha\{(1-\alpha) + (A-B)\}} \right]^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, \quad n \geq 2.$$

Proof: Upon noting the fact that $f(z)$ is convex if and only if $zf'(z)$ is starlike, the Theorem(6.1) follows.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Al-Oboudi, F.M., "On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salageon operator". Indian J. Math, Math. Sci. 25-28(2004), 1429-1436.
- [2]. Cho, N.E. and Kim, T.H., "Multiplier transformations and strongly close-to-convex functions", Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 40(2003), 399-410.
- [3].Owa,S. and Srivastava,H.M., "Univalent and starlike generalized hypergeometric functions". Canad.J.Math.,39(5)(1987),1057-1077.
- [4].Raina, R.K. and Sharma, P., "Subordination properties of univalent functions involving a new class of operators",Electr. J. Math. Anal. App. 2(1), (2014),37-52.
- [5].Raina, R.K. and Sharma, P., "Subordination preserving properties associated with a class of operators", Le Mathematiche 68(1),(2013),217-228.
- [6].Raina, R.K., Sharma, P. and Sokol,J. "Certain subordination results involving a class of operators". Analele Univ. Oradea Fasc. Matematica 21(2),(2014),89-99.
- [7]. RUSCHEWEYH S., "Neighborhoods of Univalent Functions", Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 81(1981), 521-527.
- [8]. Salageon, G.S., "Subclasses of univalent function in complex analysis" v Romanian-Finnish seminar, Part- I (Bucharest, 1981), Lecture notes in Mathematics 1013, Springer Verlag, Berlin and New York (1983).
- [9] Silverman, H., "Univalent functions with negative coefficients, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 51, (1975), 109-116.
- [10].Uralegaddi, B.A. and Somantha, C., " Certain classes of univalent functions in H.M. Srivastava, S. Owa (Eds.) Current topics in analytic function theory, World Scientific Publishing company, Singapore, (1992), 371-374.