International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET) e-ISSN: 2395 -0056
Volume: 04 Issue: 04 | Apr -2017 www.irjet.net p-ISSN: 2395-0072

An Overview of the Liquefaction of Fine Grained Soils

[1] MAHIPAL SINGH [2] AMIT CHOUDHARY [3] T N PANDAY

[1]*Dept. of Civil Engineering, Bhagwant university, Rajasthan ,India*
[2]*Professor, Dept. Of Civil Engineering,Bhagwant university, Rajasthan, India*
[3]*Professor, Dept. Of Civil Engineering,Bhagwant university, Rajasthan, India*

Abstract – This paper reviews the current status of knowledge regarding liquefaction of soils containing fines based on the theoretical and experiments studies conducted so far. It is well established that the criteria for assessment of liquefaction potential of coarse grained soil is entirely different than that of fine grained soils. However, fine grained soil viz. silt and clays also behave differently which is attributed to their individual plasticity index or the plasticity index of the mixture. It has been found that the liquefaction susceptibility of silts shows a noticeable change in its liquefaction with change in plasticity index. For a PI range of 2-4%, the liquefaction resistance of silt was found to decrease with an increase in plasticity.

Key Words: **Cyclic Stress ratio, Standard Penetration Test, Cone Penetration Test, Plasticity index.**

1. INTRODUCTION

During the past several years a number of studies have been conducted on the liquefaction behavior of the cohesion less soil. A number of methods have also been developed relating the liquefaction potential of the cohesion less soil to some of its physical properties based on its structure and texture. The general methods developed for the assessment of liquefaction potential of the cohesion less soil are based on their penetration resistance. These are such as Standard Penetration Test (SPT) or the Cone Penetration Test (CPT). The fine grained soils with plastic characteristics were considered as the non-liquefiable such as silts, clayey silts and sands with fines and silty soils. However in the recent past, several researches conducted have clearly established that the clayey soils also have liquefaction potential, and hence susceptible to liquefaction, (Youd and Idriss 2001 and Youd et. al, 2001)1 The studies conducted on some of the recent earthquakes have also supported that many cohesive soils liquefied during the earthquake. In these studies the cohesive soils was found to contain clay fraction limited to less than 20%, liquid limit varied from 21% to 35%, plasticity index varied from 4% and 14% and water content more than 90% of their liquid limit. Earlier Kishida (1969)2 reported liquefaction of soils with up to 70% fines and 10% clay fraction during Mino-Owar, Tohankai and Fukui earthquakes. Observations during several other earthquakes show evidence of liquefaction in silty and clayey soils (Turkey earthquakes, etc.). As of now it is well established that not only the cohesion less soil but all the soils such as sands, silts, clays, and gravels and their mixtures can liquefy provided the seismic and corresponding environmental factors favour it. The susceptibility of fine grained soil is related to its composition and physical condition such that it contains soil fraction as 15% finer than 5 micron, with liquid limit limited to 35% and the water content is more 90% of its liquid limit, (Seed and idriss, 1983)3 . However, Seed et al. (1985)4 suggested that for the sand with fine contents limited to 5%, the influence of fine is negligible and as such could be neglected and suggested the use of charts suggested by him for the sands and the soil containing fines. The chart is graphic representation in terms of the Cyclic stress Ratio and the Standard Penetration Test Blow. According to Wang (1979)5 , silty soils with 15 % to 20% clay particles (smaller than 5 μm size) and plasticity index more than 3, can liquefy during a strong seismic motion provided its water content is above 90 % of its liquid limit. Thus not only the percentage but percentage together with the size of clay particle is important in respect of liquefaction potential assessment of fine grained soil with the critical values of liquid limit and the water content.

2. Anomalies About Effect Of Fines On Liquefaction

In spite of several researches completed and many more on-going in the area of effect of the fines on liquefaction potential of soils, still large scale anomalies are surfacing which needs proper clarification before reaching to some concrete conclusion. Some of the anomalies are; (i). Seed et al (1985)5 have recommended that for sands containing less than 5% fines, the effect of fines on the liquefaction may be neglected. On the contrary for the sands containing more than 5% fines, it is clear that the liquefaction potential decreases as is from the data furnished by chart proposed by him relating Cyclic Stress ratio to standard penetration test blows. It is because of this reason that if the effect of fines for the suggested percentage is neglected it would lead to serious error in the assessment of liquefaction potential of the soil. Thus, lot of scope is available for conducting experimental studies to remove this anomaly. (ii) Ishihara and Koseki (1989)6 had suggested that liquefaction potential is not affected by the low plasticity fines (PI < 4). This suggestion is independent of the void ration of the investigated soil. We do know that the void ratio plays an important role in the liquefaction potential of the soil. Thus there is clear need to take studies to explain the effect of void ratio for the soil with

PI < 4 and to find out whether the liquefaction potential remains unaffected or varies in case of low plasticity fines with PI < 4.

3. CONCLUSION

It may be concluded that:

1. The not only the cohesion less soils but cohesive soils also have the tendency to liquefy but the assessment of cohesive soil should be different compared to that cohesion less soil. The liquefaction potential of the silts and the mixture of silt-clay also have the potential to liquefy but they behave differently.
2. There are large scale gap that needs to be filled by taking up more researches before coming to concrete conclusion. The research work is required to be carried out in the area as what would be the impact on the fall of the liquefaction in case the 5% criterion neglecting the fines is set aside.
3. The effect on liquefaction potential by the low plasticity fines (PI< 4) based on the variable void ratio needs to be clarified. Experimental research work is needed to be conducted for clearing the confusion once for all.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to place on record my deep sense of gratitude to Er. Amit Choudhry (H.O.D.), Dept. of Civil Engineering, Bhagwant University, Ajmer, Rajasthan (India) for his generous guidance, help and useful suggestions.

I express my sincere gratitude to Er. Trimurty Narayan Pandey (Asst. Lecturer) Dept. of Civil Engineering, Bhagwant University, Ajmer, Rajasthan (India) for his generous guidance, continuous encouragement and supervision throughout the course of present work.

REFERENCES

[1] Youd T.L., Idriss, I.M., Andrus, Ronald D., Arango, I., Castro, G., Christian, J.T.,Dobry, Finn, W.D.L., Harder,

[2] L.F., Haymes, M.E., Ishihara, K., Koester, J.P., Liao, S.S.C., Marcusson , W.F., Martin, G.R., Mitchell, J.K., Moriwaki, Y, Power, M.C., Robertson, P.K., Seed, R.B. and Stokoe, K.H. (2001) "Liquefaction Resistance of Soils: Summary Report from the 1996 NCEER and 1998 NCEER/NSF Workshops on Evaluation of Liquefaction Resistance of Soils, Journal of Geotechnical & Geoenvironmental Engineering, ASCE, Vol. 127, No. 10, pp 817- 833.

[3] Kishida, H.(1969) "Characteristics of Liquefied Sands during Mino-Owari, Tohnankai, and Fukui Earthquakes". Soils and Foundations, 9(1): 75-92.

[4] Seed H.B. and Idriss, I.M. and I. Arango (1983). "Evaluation of Liquefaction Potential using Field PerformanceDdata." Journal of Geotechnical Engg, ASCE, 109(3); 458-482.

[5] Seed H.B., Tokimatsu, K., , L.F., and Chung, R. (1985), "Influence of SPT Procedures in Soil Liquefaction Resistance Evaluations" J. Geotechnical Engg., ASCE, 111(12), 861-878 .

[6] Wang, W. (1979) "Some Findings in Soil Liquefaction" Report Water Conservancy and Hydro-electric Power Scientific Research Institute, Beijing, China, 1-17.

[7] Ishihara, K., and Koseki, J. (1989) "Cyclic Shear Strength of Fines-Containing Sands". Earthquake and Geotechnical. Engrg., Japanese Society of Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering, Tokyo, 101-106.

NAME- MAHIPAL SINGH

B.tech – Vel tech university, Chennai

M.tech- Bhagwant university, Ajmer

Dept. of civil Engineering