

A COMPARITIVE ANALYSIS OF ENERGY EFFICIENT MAC PROTOCOL FOR WIRELESS SENSOR NETWORK

Sweetu Faldu¹, Prof. Tosal M Bhalodia²

¹Department of Computer Engineering, Atmiya Institute of Technology & Science Rajkot, Gujarat, India ²Professor of Computer Engineering, Atmiya Institute of Technology & Science Rajkot, Gujarat, India ***______*

Abstract - Wireless sensor network are the collection of individual nodes which are able to interact with physical environment by sensing or controlling physical parameter. Wireless sensor networks are wide range of application potential in areas such as industrial process monitoring, target detection, tracking, and environmental monitoring. Sensor cannot have an infinite lifetime without battery recharge or replacement. Network lifetime is the main issues in wireless sensor network. Medium access control (MAC) protocol plays an important role in energy efficient in wireless sensor networks because nodes access to the shared medium is coordinated by the MAC layer. Various medium-access control (MAC) protocols various objectives have been proposed for wireless sensor networks. In this paper, first outline the basics of wireless sensor network, then describe several MAC protocols proposed for sensor networks and give comparisons different MAC protocol based on energy consumption, a contention based MAC protocol, contention less MAC protocol and Hybrid MAC protocol are defined and compare their performance. At the end of discussion this paper also presents main advantages and disadvantages of these MAC protocols.

Key Words: MAC, Wireless Sensor Networks (WSN), Cross Layer Design, Energy efficiency, Hybrid MAC, contention-free.

1. INTRODUCTION

WSNs form a many-to-one network, where all sensor nodes cooperate to collect the data and forward data to one or more nodes that called sinks. These sinks may be at kilometers away from nodes, and can't be reached in an only one hop, wherever the multi-hop communication will be required[1].Wireless Sensor Networks (WSN) a large number of battery-powered that sensors capable of communicating wireless. They are distributed within an area of interest in order to track, it measure and monitors various events. They are often deployed in an ad-hoc fashion, without careful planning. Protocols for networks need to be extremely adaptable and scalable because of constant changes in network topology. If high energy-efficiency demands are also consider, it becomes clear that the design of MAC protocols for WSN is a difficult task.

1.1 MAC Protocols

In WSN, nodes have to share a common channel. The MAC sub layer task is to provide fair access to channels by avoiding possible collisions. The main goal in MAC protocol for WSN is energy efficiency and lifetimes of sensors. The reasons for the unnecessary energy waste in wireless communication are:

Packet collision: Before transmitting, nodes don't listen to the medium, Packets transmitted data the same time collide, become corrupted and retransmitted. This causes unnecessary energy waste.

Overhearing: A node receives a packet which addressed to another node.

Control packet overhead: Control packets necessary for successful data transmission. They don't, represent useful data. MAC Protocols for wireless sensor networks.

Idle listening: The main reason for energy waste is when a node listens to an idle channel in these channel waiting to receive data.

Over emitting: The node sends data when the receiver node is not ready to accept incoming transmission.

Collision avoidance: The main goal of collision avoidance is to reduce collisions as much as possible. This can be achieved either by listening to the channel.

Scalability and adaptability: The MAC protocol needs to be changes in network topology caused by node movement and nature of wireless transmission.

Latency: Latency represents the delay of a packet. The importance of latency in wireless sensor networks depends on the monitoring application.

Throughput: Represents the amount of data period of time sent from the sender to the receiver through WSN.

Fairness: The MAC protocol needs to provide fair medium access for all active nodes[2].

© 2017, IRJET

Impact Factor value: 6.171

1.2 REQUIREMENTS OF MEDIUM ACCESS CONTROL PROTOCOLS FOR WSN

- In wireless sensor network, the MAC protocols play a very vital role in energy conservation to increase the lifetime of the network.
- Packet collisions occur at a receiver node if more than one packet arrives at the same destination. Collisions are discarded and the re-transmissions of these packets results in increase of the energy consumption. The overhearing, over-emitting, and idle listening are the other reasons for energy depletions in WSN[3].

1.3 MAC Variant

- 1) contention-free protocol
 - 1) Polling
 - The mechanism of polling in a controller sends a message to each node. The message contains the address of the node being selected for granting access. Although all nodes receive the message, only the addressed node responds then it sends data, if any. If there is no data, usually a "poll reject" message is sent back. In this way, each node is interrogated in a round-robin fashion, one after the other, for granting access to the medium. The first node is again polled when the controller finishes with the remaining codes.
 - The polling scheme has the flexibility of either giving equal access to all the nodes, or some nodes may be given higher priority than others. In other words, priority of access can be easily implemented[4].
- 2) Token passing
 - A token is a special bit pattern or a small packet, which circulate from node to node.
 - in the token bus, token is passed with the help of In either case a node currently holding the token has the 'right to transmit'.
 - When it has got data to send, it removes the token and transmits the data and then forwards the token to the next logical or physical node in the ring.
 - If a node currently holding the token has no data to send, it simply forwards the token to the next node. the nodes, which form a logical ring.
 - The token passing scheme is efficient compared to the polling technique, There exists a number of potential problems, such as lost token, duplicate token, and insertion of a node, removal of a node[4].
- 2) Channelization protocol
 - 1) FDMA
 - Radio spectrum broken into frequency bands (channels)

- Each channel allocated to a different user (only 1 user per frequency band)
- channels can be assigned on-demand when a user needs to communicate.
- Each user can only be assigned 1 channel, if not enough users for the number of channels, the radio spectrum is unused (i.e., wasted)
- FDMA usually used in narrowband systems (e.g., 30 kHz frequency bands)
- Little synchronization required because transmission is continuous in FDMA reduces overhead
- Requires expensive filters to reduce adjacent channel interference
- 2) TDMA.
- Only 1 user can transmit or receive data per slot.
- Users access entire radio spectrum for a given time slot .
- Channels can be assigned on-demand when a user needs to communicate
- Mobile devices can save battery power by turning off transmitter and receiver during slots when not transmitting or receiving data
- filters not needed, so cheaper than FDMA.
- 3) CDMA

CDMA is a multiplexing technique that user can access data channel simultaneously. Data is coded with a preassigned signature sequence called pseudo noise (PN).CDMA is a form of Direct Sequence Spread Spectrum(DSSS) communication[5].

- 3) Contention protocol
 - 1) Aloha
 - Aloha was one of the first attempts to design the MAC protocol for regular networks. Its main idea is that the transmitter sending packets whenever it wants without coordination between nodes[2].
 - 2) Pure Aloha
 - In the pure Aloha protocol nodes transmit messages regardless of whether the channel is available or not. This can lead to frequent collisions which require retransmission. The pure Aloha protocol is useful when traffic in the channel is low and collisions are rare. When the traffic load in the channel increases, collisions become more frequent and the channel tends to become congested [2].
 - 3) Slotted Aloha
 - The slotted aloha protocol is an improved version of the pure Aloha protocol by dividing a channel into time slots in which nodes can transmit. Here the node waits for the beginning of a slot for transmission. By using an efficient collision detection mechanism the transmission can



immediately be stopped when collision is detected and the energy can be saved [2].

- 4) Carrier Sense Medium Access with Collision Avoidance
- Carrier Sense Medium Access with Collision Avoidance (CSMA/CA) is widely used in wireless networks. When nodes want to transmit data, they first listen if the medium is free. If so, the node sends an RTS (Ready to Send) packet to its neighbor and waits for the CTS (Clear to send packet. After successful coordination, the node is cleared to send data, the successful reception of which is confirmed by ACK frame. Collisions are only possible when the station is sending an RTS signal; being small, it doesn't cause any noticeable energy loss[2].
- 4) Hybrid mac protocol.
 - 1) WISPER protocol
 - MAC protocol called Wireless Multimedia Access Control Protocol. It is with Bit Error Rate (BER) scheduling, WISPER, is for WCDMA based systems is proposed. WISPER utilizes idea transmission of multimedia packets according to their Bit Error Rate requirements. The scheduler assigns priorities to the packets and performs iterative procedure to determine a good accommodation of the highest priority packets in the slots of a frame so that packets in the slots of a frame so that packets with equal or similar BER requirements are transmitted in same slots[6].
 - 2) ADAPT MAC PROTOCOL (ADAPT)
 - This protocol is mainly based on channel allocation TDMA protocol and contention protocol .Each mobile terminal is assigned slot in a frame. In each slot is sensing interval in which only the slot owner may contend for the channel by initiating hand shake and the other users cannot transmit data. If collision is genrated the mobile terminal must remain salient for the remainder of a slot. A node which has not received RTS have to differ transmission of its data packet until its assigned slot will occur or some later slot determined by back off scheme[6].
 - 3) HAMAC PROTOCOL
 - Hybrid adaptive MAC protocol (HAMAC) based on TDMA, it is reservation, and contention protocols. It allow the contention channel to transmit data, It can efficiently adapt to the traffic in CBR, VBR, and ABR traffic due to the mobility of mobile devices. The protocol uses a novel preservation slot technique to overcome

the packet contention overhead in packet reservation multiple access (PRMA) like protocols, while keeping most isochronous service features of TDMA protocols to serve voice and CBR traffic streams[6].

2. LITERATURE SURVEY

1) EERC-MAC

A. Traditional RC-MAC

- Tradition RC-MAC is asynchronous MAC protocol, which takes advantages of tree structure. For this structure, the receiver is to coordinate senders channel access to reduce contention and improve throughput. In RC-MAC scheduling function is shifted to the receiver side to avoid collision in a basic parent children unit. The scheduling uses the bandwidth demand of different node to give them different channel access opportunities. The scheduling is dynamically adjusted so that no unit can occupy the channel exclusively. The lost packets are recovered in a hop-by-hop pattern. In RC-MAC scheduling of the child is done by reusing the ACK in high traffic mode. Parent broadcast an ACK. A scheduling message is piggy backed to all of its children with child node ID. After this piggybacked message, only the scheduled child can transmit and other children refrain from transmission of their own packets [7]. But there remains some unsolved issue which is described below:
- B. Creation of energy holes in the network:
 - In RC-MAC a tree- based network topology, which, sink is situated in the center of different tree structure and nodes are below the sink in a hop-by hop manner. After a certain amount of time, energy deprivation, start to happen in those nodes. we saw that upper-level nodes collect data from lower level nodes and also forward data to their parent, hence extra energy is wasted in these nodes.
 - The nodes having energy hole problem are . prohibited to forward their data to the sink. As a result, part of the network becomes worthless, average delay increases and throughput decreases and a lot of data packet are lost.
 - So, energy hole problem creates data packet loss and average throughput of network decreases[7].

C. How to solve energy-hole problem

When one parent node is out of energy, their child nodes must find an alternative path or parent to send their data packets. One solution for the

problem can be selecting any relay node in nodes interference range. Battery status of the nodes will be sent in a beacon. we showed the process of choosing alternate parent to avoid energy hole problem. we describe two different algorithms for checking parent handover situation in case energy threshold is reached and then the next algorithm find alternate parent after checking their energy threshold value. Battery energy is checked with threshold value and alternate parent is chosen. After selecting parent node, child node forward data packets to sink. the algorithm to select alternate parent. First beacon message is broadcasted then alternate parent is selected based on distance calculation and sinks response[7].

- 2) S-MAC
- S-MAC protocol is used, the periodic sleep and listen cycle of the nodes. Sleep and listen pattern is changed according to physical layer. S-MAC uses the property of adaptive listening if it uses unicast packets. It will not take into account the property of adaptive listening if it uses broadcast packets. The system was formed such that it uses only broadcasting technique. The nodes should be in sleep mode unless it some packets to send or receive to reduce energy consumption. The cross layer formed is used to change the SYNC period of nodes and as a result the listen period increases or decreases. At boot up each node senses the channel for a fixed SYNC period and then tries to send out a SYNC packet. If it happens to receive a SYNC packet from a neighbor, it will not send out SYNC packets. As a result it will follow the neighbor's schedule and prevents the situation in which two neighbors can't find each other due to completely different schedules. As the listen period changes there will be corresponding change in sleep time of the nodes. Hence the problem of idle listening during DATA period can be avoided according to the information from physical layer. Here the status of the nodes from physical layer is given to the MAC layer. If the status of the nodes is such that they are in RECV or SEND mode. then the SYNC period of the node is increased or decreased. As SYNC period changes, the sleep and DATA period of the nodes changes[8].
- 3) Asym-MAC
- This paper presents a hybrid MAC protocol called Asym-MAC is proposed which takes advantage of both receiver-initiated and sender-initiated MAC protocols to asymmetric links.Asym-MAC,A key idea is to dynamically switch between two modes of operation: transmitter-initiated and receiverinitiated modes.Asym-MAC has two modes of operation: R-mode and T-mode.

- R-mode is the default mode in which a receiverinitiated MAC is used. R-mode is switches its mode to T-mode when it fails to receive a packet from the intended receiver – more specifically, when a timeout.
- T-mode sends the packet to reciver(r-mode) and it receives data from the sender. A challenge is that, while the sender knows when to change its mode to T-mode to r-mode. A key idea is basically to add a short clear channel assessment (CCA) period at the end or last of packet then after t-mode is change to r-mode[9].
- 4) DCD-MAC
- The proposed DCD-MAC introduces a new, low-overhead duty-cycle Directional MAC protocol that allows the nodes to wake up and sleep dynamically depending on their schedules.
- A. The Frame Structure
- Frame is divided into three phases: Synchronization,Allocation and Data Transfer
- In Synchronization phase, each node searches for it's neighbors, If connection is established with any of the neighbors, messages are exchanged with eachother. child node sends to transmission request to parent node. each parent node allocated the time slot for each child node to send the request then that time slot only send the data to parent node.
- In Allocation phase, every parent node (including the sink)determines the data slots for each of its child nodes and communicates the allocated slots to its children. Therefore,each node knows its transmission and reception schedules during the data transmission phase.
- In Data Transfer phase, data is transferred from the child to the parent nodes. A parent node moves its antenna beam toward a specific child during the allocated slot and the child node sends its data packets to the parent.
- B. Transmitter-Receiver Sychronization
- In directional transmission, synchronization of active directions of the nodes before data transmission is very important.Because, without direction synchronization, child and parent nodes will not be directed to each other at the same time for data transmission and reception, respectively.
- C. Data Transfer Mechanism
- In data transfer mechanism, DCD-MAC minimizes the collision among the transmissions from neighboring nodes by introducing Directional Ready to Send (DRTS) and Directional Clear to Send (DCTS) control packets. The exchange of DRTS and DCTS follows the same procedure as RTS and CTS control packets, respectively, used in the IEEE 802.11 standard protocols.DCD-MAC introduces a



www.irjet.net

e-ISSN: 2395-0056 p-ISSN: 2395-0072

new, low-overhead duty-cycle Directional MAC protocol that allows the nodes to wake up (DRTS) and sleep (DCTS) dynamically depending on their schedules[10].

- 5) RP-MAC
- In this paper, we propose a new pipelined forwarding MAC protocol called RP-MAC (Reduced Pipe lined-forwarding) which uses a new pipeline pattern and new handshake mechanism.
- The RP-MAC divides the network into grades, Each node is scheduled to wake up at time when their higher-grade nodes send ACK. The duty cycle is divided into four states:
- overhearing(denoted by 0),receiving (denoted by R), transmitting (denoted by T) and sleeping (denoted by S).
- In 0 state, the nodes overhear ACK to know about the data transmission from the higher grade nodes. The R state is used to receive a data, then A node that overheard ACK in the 0 state sends RCTS (Request and/or Clear To Send),if the receivers node (R) in the transmitting state(T) then it is work as a request to sender(RTS). If the receiver not receive request then it work as clear to send(CTS) and then it goes to sleeping condition[11].
- 6) XT-MAC
- XT-MAC has two working states: ACTIVE and INACTIVE.
- In ACTIVE state, radio transceiver is turned on all the time, and a node can send or receive packets with minimal delay. To save energy, if a node has no activities ,it will automatically change working state to INACTIVE.
- In INACTIVE state, a node does not send packets and but it can receive only special control packets. It receives control packets if necessary to wake up condition then it change to ACTIVE state[12].

Table -1: Comparison of MAC protocol

Various MAC protocol				
Protocol	Туре	Advantages	Disadvantages	
EERC- MAC	CSMA	Provides fairness between source nodes without sacrificing the throughput.	In bigger network not give better throughput and energy reservation.	
S-MAC	CSMA	one layer of the OSI stack is used in one or more other layers to improve the system	physical layer nd it will not improve in mac layer to improve lifetime of the system.	

		performance.	
ASYM- MAC	CSMA	higher packet reception ratio	Transmission delay is more, high overhead
DCD- MAC	CSMA	Highly scalable and energy- efficient	Does not fully handle the new challenges that come along with the directional antenna
RP-MAC	CSMA	Active time of duty cycle is reduced and Size of pipeline is also reduced	Lower performance in heterogeneous network
XT-MAC	CSMA	Energy-efficient, accurate, and real-time target tracking system	Does not track multi-target crossing in wireless sensor network.

3. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we propose CSMA base MAC protocols which provide efficient energy consumption, low latency, accuracy and reduced network overhead. Paper will defined the different protocol based on contention, contention free, channelization, and hybrid protocol. This survey paper is does the surveillance process of effectively compare the performance of the different MAC protocol based on its energy efficiency and throughput.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I acknowledge to Atmiya Institute of Technology & Science College, my parents, and journal team for their support in my research work.

REFERENCES

- [1] Abdeli, Djalel, Saoussan Zelit, and Samira Moussaoui. "RTH-MAC: A real time hybrid MAC protocol for WSN." Programming and Systems (ISPS), 2013 11th International Symposium on. IEEE, 2013.
- [2] Uroš Pešovic´1, Aleksandar Peulic´1, Žarko C` uc`ej2 :
 "MAC protocols for wireless sensor networks". Elektrotehniški vestnik 75(1): 50–55, 2008.
- [3] Alageswaran, R., S. Selvakumar, and P. Neelamegam. "Life Time Based Analysis of MAC Protocols of Wireless Ad Hoc Networks in WSN Applications." World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology, International Journal of Electrical, Computer, Energetic, Electronic and Communication Engineering 6.6 (2012): 607-611.M.

Т

Young, The Technical Writer's Handbook. Mill Valley, CA: University Science, 1989.

- [4] Medium Access Control (MAC) Techniques Version 2 CSE IIT, Kharagpur
- [5] Ma, Lujuan, Henry Leung, and Deshi Li. "Hybrid TDMA/CDMA MAC Protocol for Wireless Sensor Networks." JNW 9.10 (2014): 2665-2673.
- [6] Rao, SPV Subba, S. Venkata Chalam, and D. Sreenivasa Rao. "A SURVEY ON MAC PROTOCOLS FOR WIRELESS MULTIMEDIA NETWORKS." International Journal of Computer Science and Engineering Survey 2.4 (2011): 57.
- [7] Khalil, Md Ibrahim, et al. "EERC-MAC: Energy efficient Receiver Centric MAC protocol for Wireless Sensor network." Imaging, Vision & Pattern Recognition (icIVPR), 2017 IEEE International Conference on. IEEE, 2017.
- [8] Jacob, Aswathy Mariam, S. Viswanatha Rao, and Sakuntala S. Pillai. "Reducing energy consumption by cross layer design in wireless sensor networks." Control, Instrumentation, Communication and Computational Technologies (ICCICCT), 2016 International Conference on. IEEE, 2016.
- [9] Won, Myounggyu, Taejoon Park, and Sang H. Son. "Asym-MAC: A MAC protocol for low-power duty-cycled wireless sensor networks with asymmetric links." IEEE communications letters 18.5 (2014): 809-812.
- [10] Nur, Fernaz Narin, et al. "A duty cycle directional mac protocol for wireless sensor networks." Networking Systems and Security (NSysS), 2015 International Conference on. IEEE, 2015.
- [11] Khanh, Ho Sy, Cheol-Young Ock, and Myung Kyun Kim. "RP-MAC: A cross-layer duty cycle MAC protocol with a Reduced Pipelined-forwarding feature for Wireless Sensor Networks." Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing Conference (IWCMC), 2015 International. IEEE, 2015.
- [12] Nguyen-Trung, Quan, Thu Ngo-Quynh, and Vinh Tran-Quang. "A low duty-cycle XT-MAC protocol for target tracking in wireless sensor networks."Communications and Electronics (ICCE), 2014 IEEE Fifth International Conference on. IEEE, 2014.

BIOGRAPHIES





Sweetu Faldu is currently a Second year M.E student at Atmiya Institute of Technology & Science Rajkot,Gujarat India. Her research interests primarily include Medium Access Control on wireless sensor network.

Prof. Tosal M Bhalodia is an Associate Professor of Atmiya Institute of Technology & Science Rajkot,Gujarat India. Her research interests are wireless sensor network and Network security.