REDUCING THE FAULT CURRENT AND OVERVOLTAGE IN A DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM WITH DISTRIBUTION GENERATION UNITS WITH SFCL

[1]C.V.CHAITANYA, [2]N.NARASIMHULU, [3]Dr.R.RAMACHANDRA

[1](PG Scholor, Dept of EEE (EPS), SKD, Gooty, Andhrapradesh, India.)
[2](Associate Professor & HOD, Dept of EEE, SKD, Gooty, Andhrapradesh, India),

[3](Principal SKD, Gooty, Andhrapradesh, India)

***

Abstract -*With the increasing of power demand and high cost of natural gas and oil, Distributed Generation DG which generates electricity from many small energy sources is becoming one of main components in distribution systems to feed electrical loads. The introduction of DG into a distribution network may bring lots of advantages, such as emergency backup and peak shaving. Considering distributed generation units, fault current and over voltages are taken in to seriously. It leads to effect on system and equipment damage may takes place. To overcome such technical problems, applying Super Conducting Fault Current Limiter SFCL may be feasible solution. Here, in this thesis a new voltage compensation type active SFCL is proposed which consists of an air-core super conducting transformer and a voltage type PWM converter. The magnetic field in the air core can be controlled by converter output current. The main focus is on current limitation, overvoltage suppressing, characteristics are less when compare to fault current and improvement of protection co-ordination of protective devices.*

***Key Words*: Distributed generation (DG), distribution system, overvoltage, short-circuit current, voltage compensation type ac- tive superconducting fault current limiter (SFCL).**

1. INTRODUCTION

Due to increased consumption demand and high cost of natural gas and oil, distributed generation (DG), which generates electricity from many small energy sources, is be- coming one of main components in distribution systems to feed electrical loads [1]–[3]. The introduction of DG into a distribution network may bring lots of advantages, such as emergency backup and peak shaving. However, the presence of these sources will lead the distribution network to lose its radial nature, and the fault current level will increase. Besides, when a single-phase grounded fault happens in a distribution system with isolated neutral, over voltages will be induced on the other

Fault current limiter (SFCL) may be a feasible solution two health phases, and in consideration of the installation of multiple DG units, the impacts of the induced over voltages on the distribution network's insulation stability and operation safety should be taken into account seriously. Aiming at the mentioned technical problems, applying superconducting. For the application of some type of SFCL into a distribution network with DG units, a few works have been carried out, and their research scopes mainly focus on current-limitation and improvement of protection coordination of protective devices [4]–[6]. Nevertheless, with regard to using a SFCL for suppressing the induced overvoltage, the study about it is relatively less. In view of that the introduction of a SFCL can impact the coefficient of grounding, which is a significant contributor to control the induced overvoltage's amplitude; the change of the coefficient may bring positive effects on restraining overvoltage.

We have proposed a voltage compensation type active SFCL in previous work [7], and analyzed the active SFCL's control strategy and its influence on relay protection [8, 9]. In addition, a 800 V/30 A laboratory prototype was made, and its working performances were confirmed well [10]. In this paper, taking the active SFCL as an evaluation object, its effects on the fault current and overvoltage in a distribution network with multiple DG units are studied. In view of the changes in the locations of the DG units connected into the distribution system, the DG units' injection capacities and the fault positions, the current- limiting and overvoltage-suppressing characteristics of the ac- tive SFCL are investigated in detail.

II. THEORETICAL ANALYSIS

A. Structure and Principle of the Active SFCL

As shown in Fig. 1(a), it denotes the circuit structure of the single-phase voltage compensation type active SFCL, which is composed of an air-core superconducting transformer and a voltage-type PWM converter. L_{s1} , L_{s2} are the self-inductance of two superconducting windings, and M_s is the mutual inductance. Z_1 is the circuit impedance and Z_2 is the load impedance. L_d and C_d are used for filtering high order harmonics caused by the converter. Since the voltage-type converter’s capability of controlling power exchange is implemented by regulating the voltage of AC side, the converter can be thought as a controlled voltage source U_p. By neglecting the losses of the transformer, the active SFCL’s equivalent circuit is shown in Fig. 1(b)



Fig. 1. Single-phase voltage compensation type active SFCL. (a) Circuit structure and (b) equivalent circuit.

In normal (no fault) state, the injected current (I2) in the secondary winding of the transformer will be controlled to keep a certain value, where the magnetic field in the air-core can be compensated to zero, so the active SFCL will have no influence on the main circuit. When the fault is detected, the injected current will be timely adjusted in amplitude or phase angle, so as to control the superconducting transformer’s primary voltage which is in series with the main circuit, and further the fault current can be suppressed to some extent. Below, the suggested SFCL’s specific regulating mode is explained. In normal state, the two equations can be achieved.

$$\dot{U}_s = \dot{I}_1(Z_1 + Z_2) + \mathrm{j}\omega L_{s1}\dot{I}_1 - \mathrm{j}\omega M_s\dot{I}_2 \tag{1}$$

$$\dot{U}_p = \mathrm{j}\omega M_s\dot{I}_1 - \mathrm{j}\omega L_{s2}\dot{I}_2. \tag{2}$$

Controlling I2 to make jωLs1 I˙1 − jωMs I˙2 = 0 and the pri-mary voltage U1 will be regulated to zero. Thereby, the equivalent limiting impedance Z SFCL is zero (ZSFCL = U1 /I1), and I2 can be set as I˙2 = U˙ s Ls1 /Ls2 /(Z1 + Z2)k, where k is the coupling coefficient and it can be shown as $k = M_S \diagup \sqrt{L_{S1} L_{S2}}$.Under fault condition (Z2 is shorted), the main current will rise from I1 to I1f , and the primary voltage will increase to U1f .

$$\dot{I}_{1f} = \frac{(\dot{U}_s + \mathrm{j}\omega M_s\dot{I}_2)}{(Z_1 + \mathrm{j}\omega L_{s1})} \tag{3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{U}_{1f} &= \mathrm{j}\omega L_{s1}\dot{I}_{1f} - \mathrm{j}\omega M_s\dot{I}_2 \\ &= \frac{\dot{U}_s(\mathrm{j}\omega L_{s1}) - \dot{I}_2 Z_1(\mathrm{j}\omega M_s)}{Z_1 + \mathrm{j}\omega L_{s1}}.\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

The current-limiting impedance Z_{SFCL} can be controlled in:

$$Z_{SFCL} = \frac{\dot{U}_{1f}}{\dot{I}_{1f}} = \mathrm{j}\omega L_{s1} - \frac{\mathrm{j}\omega M_s\dot{I}_2(Z_1 + \mathrm{j}\omega L_{s1})}{\dot{U}_s + \mathrm{j}\omega M_s\dot{I}_a}. \tag{5}$$



Fig. 2. Application of the active SFCL in a distribution system with DG units.

According to the difference in the regulating objectives of I_2, there are three operation modes:

1) Making I_2 remain the original state, and the limiting impedance $Z_{SFCL-1} = Z_2\,(j\omega L_{s1}) / (Z_1 + Z_2 + j\omega L_{s1})$.

2) Controlling I_2 to zero, and $Z_{SFCL-2} = j\omega L_{s1}$.

3) Regulating the phase angle of I_2 to make the angle difference between $\dot{U}_S$ and $j\omega M_S \dot{I}_2$ be 180°. By set- ting $j\omega M_S \dot{I}_2 = -c\dot{U}_S$, and $Z_{SFCL-3} = cZ_1 / (1 - c) + j\omega L_{s1} / (1 - c)$.

The air-core superconducting transformer has many merits, such as absence of iron losses and magnetic saturation, and it has more possibility of reduction in size, weight and harmonic than the conventional iron-core superconducting transformer [11], [12]. Compared to the iron-core, the air-core can be more suitable for functioning as a shunt reactor because of the large magnetizing current [13], and it can also be applied in an inductive pulsed power supply to decrease energy loss for larger pulsed current and higher energy transfer efficiency [14], [15]. There is no existence of transformer saturation in the air-core, and using it can ensure the linearity of Z_{SFCL} well.

B. Applying the SFCL into a Distribution Network with DG

As shown in Fig. 2, it indicates the application of the active SFCL in a distribution network with multiple DG units, and the buses B-E are the DG units' probable installation locations.

When a single-phase grounded fault occurs in the feeder line 1 (phase A, k1 point), the SFCL's mode 1 can be automatically triggered, and the fault current's rising rate can be timely controlled. Along with the mode switching, its amplitude can be limited further. In consideration of the SFCL's effects on the induced overvoltage, the qualitative analysis is presented.

In order to calculate the over voltages induced in the other two phases (phase B and phase C), the symmetrical component method and complex sequence networks can be used, and the coefficient of grounding G under this condition can be expressed as $G = -1.5m/(2 + m) \pm j\sqrt{3}/2$, where $m = X0/X1$, and $X0$ is the distribution network's zero-sequence reactance, $X1$ is the positive-sequence reactance [16]. Further, the amplitudes of the B-phase and C-phase over voltages can be described as:

$$U_{BO} = U_{CO} = \sqrt{3}\left|\frac{\sqrt{G^2+G+1}}{G+2}\right| U_{AN} \qquad (6)$$

Where UAN is the phase-to-ground voltage's root mean square (RMS) under normal condition.

Furthermore, taking into account the changes in the locations of the DG units connected into the distribution system, the DG units' injection capacities and the fault positions, the specific effects of the SFCL on the fault current and overvoltage may be different, and they are all imitated in the simulation analysis.

III. SIMULATION STUDY

For purpose of quantitatively evaluating the current-limiting and overvoltage-suppressing characteristics of the active SFCL, the distribution system with DG units and the SFCL, as shown in Fig. 2 is created in MATLAB. The SFCL is installed in the behind of the power supply U_S, and two DG units are included in the system, and one of them is fixedly installed in the Bus B (named as DG1). For the other DG, it can be installed in an arbitrary position among the Buses C–E (named as DG2). The model's main parameters are shown in Table

I. To reduce the converter's design capacity [17], making the SFCL switch to the mode 2 after the fault is detected, and the detection method is based on measuring the main current's different components by Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) and harmonic analysis.

Overvoltage-Suppressing Characteristics of the SFCL Supposing that the injection capacity of each DG is about 80% of the load capacity (load 1), and the fault location is k1 point (phase-A is shorted), and the fault time is t = 0.2 s, the simulation is done when the DG2 is respectively installed in the Buses C, D, and E, and the three cases are named as case I, II, and III. Fig. 4 shows the SFCL's overvoltage-suppressing characteristics, and the waveforms with and without the SFCL.

As mentioned in the fault modeling of cases are study, one of the main causes for the overvoltage & fault current in the distribution system is due to a three-phase to ground fault caused by the introduction of DG units in the network . However, to alleviate this problem, a method using SFCL to reduce the fault currents is proposed. Here considering one DG is fixes in the bus B one of them is fixedly installed in the Bus B (named as DG1). For the other DG, it can be installed in an arbitrary position among the Buses C–E (named as DG2)

TABLE 1

MAIN SIMULATION PARAMETERS OF THE SYSTEM MODEL

Active SFCL	
Primary inductance	50 mH
Secondary inductance	30 mH
Mutual inductance	32.9 mH
Distribution Transformer	
Rated capacity	5000 kVA
Transformation ratio	35 kV/10.5 kV
Feeder Line	
Line length	L_{AF}= 5 km, L_{AB}= 3 km, L_{BC}= 3 km, L_{CD}= 9 km, L_{DE}= 15 km,
Line parameter	(0.259+j0.093) Ω/km
Power Load	
Load 1	50 Ω
Load 2	(10+j12) Ω

are both listed. For the cases I, II, and III, the overvoltage's peak amplitude without SFCL will be respectively 1.14, 1.23, 1.29 times of normal value, and once the active SFCL is applied, the corresponding times will drop to 1.08, 1.17, and 1.2.



Fig. 4. Voltage characteristics of the Bus-A under different locations of DG units. (a) Without SFCL and (b) with the active SFCL.

During the study of the influence of the DG's injection capacity on the overvoltage's amplitude, it is assumed that the adjustable range of each DG unit's injection capacity is about 70% to 100% of the load capacity (load 1), the two DG units are located in the Buses B and E, and the other fault conditions are unchanged, Table II shows the overvoltage's amplitude Characteristics under this background. Along with the increase of the DG's injection capacity, the overvoltage will be accordingly rise, and once the injection capacity is equal or greater than 90% of the load capacity, the overvoltage will exceed acceptable limit (1.3 times). Nevertheless, if the active SFCL is put into use, the limit-exceeding problem can be solved.

TABLE II

OVERVOLTAGE 'S AMPLITUDE CHARACTERISTICS UNDER DIFFERENT INJECTION CAPACITIES OF DG UNITS

DG's injection capacity	Ratio of overvoltage to normal voltage	
	Without SFCL	With the active SFCL
70%	1.25	1.19
80%	1.29	1.2
90%	1.33	1.22
100%	1.38	1.29



Fig. 5. Line current waveforms when the three-phase short-circuit occurs at k3 point. (a) Without SFCL and (b) with the active SFCL.

B. Current-Limiting Characteristics of the SFCL

By observing the voltage compensation type active SFCL's installation location, it can be found out that this device's current-limiting function should mainly reflect in suppressing the line current through the distribution transformer. There- upon, to estimate the most serious fault characteristics, the following conditions are designed: the injection capacity of each DG is about 100% of the load capacity (load 1), and the two DG units are separately installed in the Buses B and E. Moreover, the three-phase fault occurs at k1, k2, and k3 points respectively, and the fault occurring time is t = 0.2 s. Hereby, the line current characteristics are imitated.

As shown in Fig. 5, it indicates the line current waveforms with and without the active SFCL when the three-phase short- circuit occurs at k3 point. After installing the active SFCL, the first peak value of the fault currents (i_{Af}, i_{Bf}, i_{Cf}) can be limited to 2.51 kA, 2.69 kA, 1.88 kA, respectively, in contrast with 3.62 kA, 3.81 kA, 2.74 kA under the condition without SFCL. The reduction rate of the expected fault currents will be 30.7%, 29.4%, 31.4%, respectively.

Fig. 6 shows the SFCL's current-limiting performances when the fault location is respectively k1 point and k2 point (selecting the phase-A current for an evaluation). Along with the decrease of the distance between the fault location and the SFCL's installation position, the current-limiting ratio will increase from 12.7% (k1 point) to 21.3% (k2 point).

Besides, as one component of fault current, natural response is an exponential decay DC wave, and its initial value has a direct relationship with fault angle. In other words, corresponding to different initial fault angles, the short-circuit current's peak amplitudes will be distinguishing. Through the application



Fig. 6. Active SFCL's current-limiting performances under different fault locations. (a) k1 point and (b) k2 point.

of the active SFCL, the influence of initial fault angle on the peak amplitude of the A-phase short-circuit current is analyzed in Fig. 7, where the fault location is k3 point. It can be seen that, under the conditions with and without the SFCL, the short- circuit current's peak amplitude will be smallest when the fault angle is about 130◦ . At this fault angle, the power distribution system can immediately achieve the steady transition from normal state to fault state.

CONCLUSION

Worldwide energy demand is increasing rapidly requiring new solutions to improve the reliability of our energy supply. Fault current limiters are new devices using the electrical properties almost instantaneously protect distribution system against short circuits and thereby prevent costly damages.

The benefit of SFCLs application in power systems is reduction the current stresses on equipment during faults, transient stability of the power grid enhancement and reduction of voltage

In this thesis, the application of the active SFCL into in a power distribution network with DG units is investigated. For the power frequency overvoltage caused by using a DG based operation to enhance the power quality concerns at bus levels using a supportive source with active SFCL topologies

FUTURE SCOPE:

In recently years, more and more dispersed energy sources, such as wind power and photovoltaic solar power, are installed into distribution systems. Therefore, the study of a coordinated control method for the renewable energy sources and the SFCL becomes very meaningful, and it will be performed in future.

REFERENCES

[1] S. Conti, "Analysis of distribution network protection issues in presence of dispersed generation," Elect. Power Syst. Res., vol. 79, no. 1, pp. 49–56, Jan. 2009.

[2] A. S. Emhemed, R. M. Tumilty, N. K. Singh, G. M. Burt, and J. R. McDonald, "Analysis of transient stability enhancement of LV-connected induction microgenerators by using resistive-type fault cur- rent limiters," IEEE Trans. Power Syst., vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 885–893, May 2010.

[3] S.-Y. Kim and J.-O. Kim, "Reliability evaluation of distribution network with DG considering the reliability of protective devices affected by SFCL," IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond., vol. 21, no. 5, pp. 3561–3569, Oct. 2011.

[4] S. A. A. Shahriari, A. Yazdian, and M. R. Haghifam, "Fault current limiter allocation and sizing in distribution system in presence of distributed generation," in Proc. IEEE Power Energy Soc. Gen. Meet., Calgary, AB, Canada, Jul. 2009, pp. 1–6.

[5] S. Hemmati and J. Sadeh, "Applying superconductive fault current limiter to minimize the impacts of distributed generation on the distribution pro- tection systems," in Proc. Int. Conf. Environ. Electr. Eng., Venice, Italy, May 2012, pp. 808–813.

[6] S.-H. Lim, J.-S. Kim, M.-H. Kim, and J.-C. Kim, "Improvement of protection coordination of protective devices through application of a SFCL in a power distribution system with a dispersed gener- ation," IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond., vol. 22, no. 3, p. 5601004, Jun. 2012.

[7] L. Chen, Y. Tang, J. Shi, and Z. Sun, "Simulations and experimental analyses of the active superconducting fault current limiter," Phys. C, vol. 459, no. 1/2, pp. 27–32, Aug. 2007.

[8] L. Chen, Y. Tang, J. Shi, Z. Li, L. Ren, and S. Cheng, "Control strategy for three-phase four-wire PWM converter of integrated voltage com- pensation type active SFCL," Phys. C, vol. 470, no. 3, pp. 231–235, Feb. 2010.

[9] L. Chen, Y. J. Tang, J. Shi, L. Ren, M. Song, S. J. Cheng, Y. Hu, and X. S. Chen, "Effects of a voltage compensation type active superconduct- ing fault current limiter on distance relay protection," Phys. C, vol. 470, no. 20, pp. 1662–1665, Nov. 2010.

[10] J. Wang, L. Zhou, J. Shi, and Y. Tang, "Experimental investigation of an active superconducting current controller," IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond., vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 1258–1262, Jun. 2011.

[11] H. Yamaguchi and T. Kataoka, "Stability analysis of air-core supercon- ducting power transformer," IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond., vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 1013–1016, Jun. 1997.

[12] H. Yamaguchi, T. Kataoka, H. Matsuoka, T. Mouri, S. Nishikata, and Y. Sato, "Magnetic field and electromagnetic force analysis of 3 phase air- core superconducting power transformer," IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond., vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 1490–1493, Mar. 2001.

[13] M. Song, Y. Tang, N. Chen, Z. Li, and Y. Zhou, "Theoretical analy- sis and experiment research of high temperature superconducting air- core transformer," in Proc. Int. Conf. Electr. Mach. Syst., Wuhan, China, Oct. 2008, pp. 4394–4397.

[14] R. Wu, Y. Wang, Z. Yan, W. Luo, and Z. Gui, "Design and experimental realization of a new pulsed power supply based on the energy transfer between two capacitors and an HTS air-core pulsed transformer," IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci., vol. 41, no. 4, pp. 993–998, Apr. 2013.

[15] R. Wu, Y. Wang, Z. Yan, Z. He, and L. Wang, "Simulation and exper-

imental investigation of an inductive pulsed power supply based on the head-to-tail series model of an HTS air-core pulsed transformer," IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond., vol. 23, no. 4, p. 5701305, Aug. 2013.

[16] S. Chen, W. Wang, and P. Yang, "Effects of current-limiting inductor on power frequency overvoltages in transmission line," Power Syst. Technol., vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 193–196, Mar. 2010.

[17] L. Chen, Y. J. Tang, J. Shi, N. Chen, M. Song, S. J. Cheng, Y. Hu, and X. S. Chen, "Influence of a voltage compensation type active superconducting fault current limiter on the transient stability of power system," Phys. C, vol. 469, no. 15–20, pp. 1760–1764, Oct.2009.

AUTHORS:

C.V.CHAITANYA was born in 1992. She completed her professional career of education in B.Tech (EEE) at Sri Krishnadevaraya university college of engineering and technolozy in the year of 2013 and pursuing M.Tech from Sri Krishnadevaraya engineering college, Gooty, Anantapur(AP). She is interested in Electrical Power Engineering.

Mr. N. Narasimhulu has completed his professional career of education in B.Tech (EEE) from JNTU Hyderabad. He obtained M.Tech degree from JNTU, HYDERABAD.Now he is pursuing Ph.D from JNTU ANANTAPUR. At present working as an Associate Professor and Head of the EEE Department in *Srikrishna Devaraya Engineering College, Gooty of Anantapuramu* district (AP).

Dr.R.RAMACHANDRA has completed his professional career of education in B.Tech (MECHANICAL) from JNTU Hyderabad. He obtained M.Tech degree from JNTU, Hyderabad. He obtained Phd degree from JNTU, Hyderabad At present working as Principal in Srikrishna Devaraya Engineering College, Gooty of Anantapuramu district (Ap).