# Monitoring Internet of Thing Networks.

**Neha Santosh Deshmukh[1], Asst. prof. P. S. Gade[2]**

*Neha Santosh Deshmukh[1], MCA, YTC Satara*
*Asst. prof. P. S. Gade[2], MCA, YTC Satara*
*Dept. of MCA, Yashoda Technical Campus Satara, Maharashtra, India*

---------------------------------------------------------------------***---------------------------------------------------------------------

**Abstract -** To insure robustness, functionality and Quality of Service in wireless networks, covering the network state and functioning of bumps and links is pivotal; especially for critical operations. This PhD thesis targets robustness in Internet of effects ( IoT) networks. Bias are resource-constrained and connected via loss links; thus, fault forestallment and rapid-fire form mechanisms are pivotal. Meanwhile, covering should minimize the performing energy and business outflow; to leave the network unconstrained during its normal operation. To attack this problem, several integrated optimization models and effective algorithms were proposed during the course of PhD. Our conditioning and results cover the examiner placement and scheduling problems. The topology is represented by a graph, and several graph related optimization problems can be answered. We end at realizing a polynomial- time soluble examiner placement algorithm. Likewise, to minimize the monitoring outflow and maximize life, covering places should be balanced and alternated amongst bumps; thus, we target optimal examiner scheduling. We propose a Binary Integer Programming problem expression. We present the exact result as well as an effective heuristic. Expansive trial was conducted using different network sizes and topologies. Results confirm effective monitoring with minimal energy consumption and network outflow while balancing the monitoring part between bumps.

## 1. Problem Statement

Low-power Wireless Personal Area Networks (LoWPANs), which use IPv6, make up the majority of Internet of Things networks. These networks are referred to as 6LoWPANs [1]. It's very difficult to maintain robustness in these kinds of networks because gadgets

are vulnerable to physical attacks because they are: (1) wirelessly connected via unreliable, lossy channels, making disconnectivity, node unreachability, and eavesdropping extremely common; (2) typically resource-constrained with low-power radio and limited and unpredictable bandwidth; (3) susceptible to Internet security risks; and (4) unattended and possibly deployed in hostile, highly dynamic environments [2].

There is a class of real-time, mission-critical IoT applications where data must be processed and exchanged quickly while adhering to stringent reliability requirements, despite the fact that a substantial portion of these applications are not time-sensitive. For example, safety and critical control

## 2. Research Goal and Methodology

Route stability is typically prioritized over fault tolerance in routing protocols. They have the ability to perform reactive route repair methods in response to certain defects. Nonetheless, the presence of a

For mission-critical Internet of Things applications, a proactive approach is greatly preferred, where problems are detected and quickly handled. By enforcing continuous maintenance to notify network operators of defects, proactive monitoring helps to avoid disconnectivity, node unreachability, and service failures from happening in the first place. Enhancing robustness and Quality of Service (QoS) could have a significant impact, leading to a rise in stakeholders' adoption of the technology.

IoT devices typically have limited resources, hence they are unable to implement sophisticated monitoring systems. When the network is operating normally, it should not be restricted. As a result, effective monitoring systems are necessary.

To summarize the goals of our monitoring system, we aim at maintaining a highly reliable IoT network structure by:

• Proactively and efficiently verifying the correct operation of nodes and links,

• collecting, aggregating and filtering real-time data from nodes,

• Detecting and localizing (or even predicting) abnormal events or faults

• adapting to dynamic, real-time changes in the network State.

To achieve the stated goals, our research methodology is the following:

• Extensive reviews to the state of the art of monitoring Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs),

• Creation of robust models and corresponding graph optimization problems,

- Analysis of the proposed models from the point of view of complexity and resolvability,

- developing exact and approximated analytical solutions to the related graph problems,

- integrating the proposed models with active and/or passive network monitoring algorithms, and

- performing extensive simulations for performance evaluations; to verify the effectiveness and efficiency of the proposed models.

## 3. PROPOSED MODEL

Selecting the appropriate location for the monitoring nodes to be embedded is one of the biggest problems with network monitoring. These components ought to be able to analyze the monitoring data and/or conduct monitoring probes in an active or passive manner. The optimal positioning of the probes is necessary to reduce the energy consumption and monitoring burden. Moreover, in order to meet the low cost and energy limits of IoT devices, the monitoring computational cost, battery, and memory requirements should be kept to a minimum. Examples of network monitoring indicate that the corresponding optimization is frequently Phar.D. [3]. We start by creating a model that seeks to locate monitors as optimally as possible while maintaining computational tractability and network coverage.

A. Fixed Parameter Tractable Monitoring Placement Algorithm

A graph can be used to represent the communication and network topology among the components. The Destination Oriented Directed Acyclic Graph (DODAG), created by RPL, is the graph we utilize since the suggested models ought to cooperate with RPL. Determining the bare minimum of monitoring nodes needed to maintain track on every link in the network is known as optimal monitor placement. The traditional Vertex Cover Problem (VCP) can be used to model the issue [4]. VCP on generic graphs is NP-hard. The boundary parameter in this case is the treewidth. On the other hand, it is polynomial when solved on trees and Fixed Parameter Tractable (FPT) when solved on "tree-like" graphs, sometimes known as nice-tree decompositions [5]. With this knowledge in mind, we suggested methods

B. Three-Phase Heuristic for Monitoring Scheduling

Since energy consumption is the primary limiting factor for WSNs and LoW-power Lossy Networks (LLNs), idle listening to the channel might rapidly drain batteries. Duty cycling is frequently included in these kinds of networks in order to increase their lifespan. When duty cycling, a node stumbles a lot.

**Algorithm 1:** Convert DODAG into Nice-Tree with Treewidth 1

```
Input: D = ({v_j : v_j ∈ V}, E)
Output: Nice Tree Decomposition ({X_i : i ∈ I}, T) with unity Treewidth
Let c_r ∈ C(v_j) where C(v_j) is the set of children of vertex v_j in DODAG D;
Let s_r ∈
  S(v_j) where S(v_j) is the set of siblings connected to vertex v_j in DODAG D;
Non-Leaf nodes:
  {NL : NL ⟵ ∪ v_j ∈ D where |C(v_j)| + |S(v_j)| > 0};
Initialization;
while NL ≠ 0 do
    Select v_j from NL (its top vertex);
    Search T for bag X_i ⟵ v_j;
    Set L ⟵ C(v_j) ∪ S(v_j) where s_r ∈ NL ;
    Let no_of_required_leaves ⟵|L| ;
    if no_of_required_leaves > 1 then
        t ⟵ ConstructBinaryTree(X_i,
        no_of_required_leaves);
        At Xi augment T with t;
    endif
    while l ≤ no_of_required_leaves do
        Make leaf a forget bag via branching Xk, where Xk ⟵ vj, vq
          and v_q ∈ L;
        Make Xk an "introduce bag" via branching vq;
        L ⟵ L − v_q;
        l ⟵ l + 1;
        NL ⟵ NL − vj;
    endWhile
endWhile
```

Into a sleeping state and periodically wakes up to perform its sensing, receiving or transmission role. The same periodic activity can be applied to monitoring. It is presumed that the monitoring system provides information on the state of network components during prearranged periods. The criticality of the application determines the frequency of epochs. This alternative aims to distribute the monitoring workload evenly among multiple node subsets. At certain times, monitoring subsets awaken to fulfill their monitoring duties and return to sleep monitoring, allowing other nodes to take over. Duty cycling does, regrettably, have an unfavorable side effect: switching between the active, sleep, and transitory stages requires additional energy [7]. Thus, scheduling the monitoring duty amongst nodes as efficiently as possible while reducing the amount of monitoring state transitions is another objective for a resource-aware monitoring system. In order to deal with the monitoring role's optimal scheduling.

## 4. Experimental Evaluation:

The trials are performed on a particular computer with 16 Gigabytes of RAM and2.20 Gigahertz Intel Core i7 processor. The proposed models are tested using cases with variable number of bumps (| V|), links (| E|), and graph consistence ( p). For the three- phase corruption, the cases ranged from 50 to 200 bumps, and 123 to 576 links. For the exact result, network sizes ranged from 25 to 4941 bumps, and from 150 to 11535 links. Table II presents a brief summary of experimental results for the exact result.

Table II
EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS OF EXACT MONITORING SCHEDULING

| $\mid V \mid$ | $\mid E \mid$ | $p$ | % Mon-itors | % Resid-ual battery | Execution time (sec) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 34 | 114 | 0.101 | 41 | 92.62 | 66.90 |
| 62 | 207 | 0.054 | 58 | 85.15 | 104.95 |
| 115 | 613 | 0.047 | 82 | 77.90 | 78.55 |
| 399 | 950 | 0.005 | 29 | 93.71 | 15.00 |
| 500 | 249500 | 0.990 | 99 | 78.96 | 276.60 |
| 600 | 179700 | 0.500 | 99 | 74.72 | 466.90 |
| 1589 | 4331 | 0.002 | 57 | 90.81 | 2.82 |
| 4941 | 11535 | 0.0005 | 47 | 94.00 | 111.24 |

Regarding the three- phase heuristic, analysis of experiment tall results reveals that modeling the monitoring placement as VCP guarantees full monitoring content. Our algorithm 1 in( 6) is salutary for reducing the computational time and realizing Fixed Parameter Tractability of VCP. The proposition in Phase II(cf. Table I) is suitable to optimally assign observers to planning ages with minimal monitoring and comma inaction energy consumption; depending on the problem's parameters( number of ages(| T|), energy loss per period ( Em), andreserved_batter yk). The chance of residual battery after monitoring, relaying the monitoring data to the BR and state transitions is further than 86 in all tested cases. Thus, it's concluded that the BIP expression was effective in minimizing the energy consumption. Results 2019 IEEE 5th World Forum on Internet of effects( WF- IoT) after working the TSP Path( Phasic), for optimal sequencing of VCs across time ages, confirm both effectiveness and effectiveness in reducing the state transitions; in some cases up to 80. It's intriguing to emphasize that when the yk is fairly small, more VCs are needed to cover the same number of ages and scheduling for minimum energy consumption is critical. All of the mentioned conclusions are important for the relinquishment of network monitoring; particularly into charge-critical IoT operations. The same conclusions are drawn for the exact model. The optimization assured full network content and minimum energy consumption. The tested cases for this model were larger in size and viscosity. Yet, the residual battery no way fell below 74 in all cases. Looking at the prosecution time, it is concluded that the exact result is efficiently reckoned for small-medium cases, while it can be time consuming for large- sized or thick networks. Nonetheless, it serves as a standard.

## 5. Future Research Direction

Since the IoT network topology is frequently dynamic, it's necessary to target heuristics and dynamic monitoring algorithms in our unborn work. Likewise, it's necessary to test the effectiveness and effectiveness of the proposed models against RPL's form mechanisms. Enforcing network monitoring by using RPL's DAGMC objects requires the help of a network simulator. We plan on using COOJA; the Contiki network simulator that targets constrained IoT networks.

## 6. Acknowledgment

Mohamed Saleh, Sally Kassem, Abderrahim Benslimane, Molnar (University of Montpellier), and (Cairo University) for your ongoing assistance. She also expresses gratitude to UNESCO and the L'Oreal foundation for their support in providing her with the 2017 L'Oreal-UNESCO for Women in Science Levant and Egypt Fellowship. Finally, she expresses her gratitude for the scholarship from the French Institute in Egypt, the French Ministry of Foreign Affairs, and the French Embassy in Egypt.

## 7. Conclusion

To sum up, keeping an eye on IoT networks is critical to guaranteeing their dependability, efficiency, and security. It aids in the detection and remediation of security flaws, data integrity preservation, network congestion management, and device health optimization. Monitoring frameworks need to change as IoT technologies do to accommodate a wider range of devices and data types. Stakeholder collaboration is essential to the establishment of best practices and standards. To optimize IoT benefits and minimize risks, it is essential to invest in a strong monitoring infrastructure. Organizations can fully utilize IoT to drive efficiency and innovation in the digital age by creating a collaborative environment, implementing sophisticated monitoring strategies, and placing a high priority on security.

## 8. References

[1] G. Montenegro, N. Kushalnagar, J. Hui, and D. Culler, "Transmission of ipv6 packets over ieee 802.15. 4 networks," Tech. Rep., 2007.

[2] Z. Sheng, S. Yang, Y. Yu, A. Vasilakos, J. Mccann, and K. Leung, "A survey on the ietf protocol suite for the internet of things: Standards, challenges, and opportunities," IEEE Wireless Communications, vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 91–98, 2013.

[3] S. Agrawal, K. Naidu, and R. Rastogi, "Diagnosing link-level anomalies using passive probes," in INFOCOM 2007. 26th IEEE International Conference on Computer Communications. IEEE. IEEE, 2007, pp. 1757– 1765.

[4] S. Balaji, V. Swaminathan, and K. Kannan, "Optimization of unweighted minimum vertex cover," World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology, vol. 43, pp. 716–729, 2010.

[5] H. Moser, "Exact algorithms for generalizations of vertex cover," Mas?ter's thesis, Fakultät für Mathematik und Informatik, Friedrich-Schiller?Universität Jena, 2005.

[6] B. Mostafa, A. Benslimane, E. Boureau, M. Molnar, and M. Saleh, "Distributed monitoring in 6lowpan based internet of things," in 2016 In?ternational Conference on Selected

Topics in Mobile Wireless Networking (MoWNeT), April 2016, pp. 1–7.

[7] D. Ganesan, R. Govindan, S. Shenker, and D. Estrin, "Highly-resilient, energy-efficient multipath routing in wireless sensor networks," ACM SIGMOBILE Mobile Computing and Communications Review, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 11–25, 2001.

[8] B. Mostafa, A. Benslimane, M. Saleh, S. Kassem, and M. Molnar, "An energy-efficient multiobjective scheduling model for monitoring in internet of things," IEEE Internet of Things Journal, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 1727–1738, June 2018.